

Computational Methods for Protein Folding: Advances in Chemical Physics, Volume 120.
Edited by Richard A. Friesner. Series Editors: I. Prigogine and Stuart A. Rice.
Copyright © 2002 John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
ISBNs: 0-471-20955-4 (Hardback); 0-471-22442-1 (Electronic)

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS FOR PROTEIN FOLDING

A SPECIAL VOLUME OF ADVANCES IN CHEMICAL PHYSICS

VOLUME 120

EDITORIAL BOARD

- BRUCE J. BERNE, Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, New York, U.S.A.
- KURT BINDER, Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Mainz, Germany
- A. WELFORD CASTLEMAN, JR., Department of Chemistry, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.
- DAVID CHANDLER, Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California, U.S.A.
- M. S. CHILD, Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
- WILLIAM T. COFFEY, Department of Microelectronics and Electrical Engineering, Trinity College, University of Dublin, Dublin, Ireland
- F. FLEMING CRIM, Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A.
- ERNEST R. DAVIDSON, Department of Chemistry, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, U.S.A.
- GRAHAM R. FLEMING, Department of Chemistry, The University of California, Berkeley, California, U.S.A.
- KARL F. FREED, The James Franck Institute, The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, U.S.A.
- PIERRE GASPARD, Center for Nonlinear Phenomena and Complex Systems, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium
- ERIC J. HELLER, Department of Chemistry, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A.
- ROBIN M. HOCHSTRASSER, Department of Chemistry, The University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.
- R. KOSLOFF, The Fritz Haber Research Center for Molecular Dynamics and Department of Physical Chemistry, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel
- RUDOLPH A. MARCUS, Department of Chemistry, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, U.S.A.
- G. NICOLIS, Center for Nonlinear Phenomena and Complex Systems, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium
- THOMAS P. RUSSELL, Department of Polymer Science, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, Massachusetts
- DONALD G. TRUHLAR, Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, U.S.A.
- JOHN D. WEEKS, Institute for Physical Science and Technology and Department of Chemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, U.S.A.
- PETER G. WOLYNES, Department of Chemistry, University of California, San Diego, California, U.S.A.

COMPUTATIONAL METHODS FOR PROTEIN FOLDING

ADVANCES IN CHEMICAL PHYSICS
VOLUME 120

Edited by

RICHARD A. FRIESNER

Columbia University, New York, NY

Series Editors

I. PRIGOGINE

Center for Studies in Statistical Mechanics
and Complex Systems
The University of Texas
Austin, Texas
and
International Solvay Institutes
Universite Libre de Bruxelles
Brussels, Belgium

and

STUART A. RICE

Department of Chemistry
and
The James Franck Institute
The University of Chicago
Chicago, Illinois



AN INTERSCIENCE PUBLICATION
A JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC. PUBLICATION

Designations used by companies to distinguish their products are often claimed as trademarks. In all instances where John Wiley & Sons, Inc., is aware of a claim, the product names appear in initial capital or ALL CAPITAL LETTERS. Readers, however, should contact the appropriate companies for more complete information regarding trademarks and registration.

Copyright © 2002 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including uploading, downloading, printing, decompiling, recording or otherwise, except as permitted under Sections 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without the prior written permission of the Publisher. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the Permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158-0012, (212) 850-6011, fax (212) 850-6008, E-Mail: PERMREQ @ WILEY.COM.

This publication is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. If professional advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional person should be sought.

ISBN 0-471-22442-1

This title is also available in print as ISBN 0-471-20955-4.

For more information about Wiley products, visit our web site at www.Wiley.com.

CONTRIBUTORS TO VOLUME 120

- BENOIT CROMP, Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada; Centre de Recherche en Calcul Appliqué, Montréal, Québec, Canada; and Protein Engineering Network of Centers of Excellence, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- R. I. DIMA, Institute for Physical Science and Technology and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, U.S.A.
- AARON R. DINNER, New Chemistry Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.
- RON ELBER, Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, U.S.A.
- VOLKER A. EYRICH, Department of Chemistry and Center for Biomolecular Simulation, Columbia University, New York, NY, U.S.A.
- ANTHONY K. FELTS, Department of Chemistry, Rutgers University, Wright-Rieman Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ, U.S.A.
- CHRISTODOULOS A. FLOUDAS, Department of Chemical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, U.S.A.
- RICHARD A. FRIESNER, Department of Chemistry and Center for Biomolecular Simulation, Columbia University, New York, NY, U.S.A.
- EMILIO GALLICCHIO, Department of Chemistry, Rutgers University, Wright-Rieman Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ, U.S.A.
- JOHN GUNN, Schrödinger, Inc., New York, NY, U.S.A.; Centre de Recherche en Calcul Appliqué, Montréal, Québec, Canada; and Protein Engineering Network of Centers of Excellence, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- PIERRE-JEAN L'HEUREUX, Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada; Centre de Recherche en Calcul Appliqué, Montréal, Québec, Canada; and Protein Engineering Network of Centers of Excellence, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- MARTIN KARPLUS, New Chemistry Laboratory University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K.; Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, U.S.A.; and Laboratoire de Chimie Biophysique, Institut le Bel, Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, France

- JOHN L. KLEPEIS, Department of Chemical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, U.S.A.
- D. K. KLIMOV, Institute for Physical Science and Technology and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, U.S.A.
- ANDRZEJ KOLINSKI, Laboratory of Computational Genomics, Danforth Plant Science Center, Creve Coeur, MO, U.S.A.; and Department of Chemistry, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland
- RONALD M. LEVY, Department of Chemistry, Rutgers University, Wright-Rieman Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ, U.S.A.
- ÉRIC MARTINEAU, Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Québec, Canada; Centre de Recherche en Calcul Appliqué, Montréal, Québec, Canada; and Protein Engineering Network of Centers of Excellence, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada
- JAROSLAW MELLER, Department of Computer Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, U.S.A.; and Department of Computer Methods, Nicholas Copernicus University, Torun, Poland
- HEATHER D. SCHAFROTH, Department of Chemical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, U.S.A.
- JEFFREY SKOLNICK, Laboratory of Computational Genomics, Danforth Plant Science Center, Creve Coeur, MO, U.S.A.
- SUNG-SAU SO, Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., Discovery Chemistry, Nutley, NJ, U.S.A.
- DARON M. STANDLEY, Schrödinger Inc., New York, NY, U.S.A.
- D. THIRUMALAI, Institute for Physical Science and Technology and Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, U.S.A.
- ANDERS WALLQVIST, Department of Chemistry, Rutgers University, Wright-Rieman Laboratories, Piscataway, NJ, U.S.A.
- KARL M. WESTERBERG, Department of Chemical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, U.S.A.

INTRODUCTION

Few of us can any longer keep up with the flood of scientific literature, even in specialized subfields. Any attempt to do more and be broadly educated with respect to a large domain of science has the appearance of tilting at windmills. Yet the synthesis of ideas drawn from different subjects into new, powerful, general concepts is as valuable as ever, and the desire to remain educated persists in all scientists. This series, *Advances in Chemical Physics*, is devoted to helping the reader obtain general information about a wide variety of topics in chemical physics, a field that we interpret very broadly. Our intent is to have experts present comprehensive analyses of subjects of interest and to encourage the expression of individual points of view. We hope that this approach to the presentation of an overview of a subject will both stimulate new research and serve as a personalized learning text for beginners in a field.

I. PRIGOGINE
STUART A. RICE

PREFACE

The first attempts to model proteins on the computer began almost 30 years ago. Over the past three decades, our understanding of protein structure and dynamics has dramatically increased as a result of rapid advances in both theory and experiment. The Protein Data Bank (PDB) now contains more than 10,000 high-resolution protein structures. The human genome project and related efforts have generated an order of magnitude more protein sequences, for which we do not yet know the structure. Spectroscopic measurement techniques continue to increase in resolution and sensitivity, allowing a wealth of information to be obtained with regard to the kinetics of protein folding and unfolding, complementing the detailed structural picture of the folded state. In parallel to these efforts, algorithms, software, and computational hardware have progressed to the point where both structural and kinetic problems may be studied with a fair degree of realism.

Despite these advances, many major challenges remain in understanding protein folding at both a conceptual and practical level. There is still significant debate about the role of various underlying physical forces in stabilizing a unique native structure. Efforts to translate physical principles into practical protein structure prediction algorithms are still at an early stage; most successful prediction algorithms employ knowledge-based approaches that rely on examples of existing protein structures in the PDB, as well as on techniques of computer science and statistics. Theoretical modeling of the dynamics of protein folding faces additional difficulties; there is a much smaller body of experimental data, which is typically at relatively low resolution; carrying out computations over long time scales requires either very large amounts of computer time or the use of highly approximate models; and the use of statistical methods to analyze the data is still in its infancy.

The importance of the protein folding problem—underscored by the recent completion of the human genome sequence—has led to an explosion of theoretical work in areas of both protein structure prediction and kinetic modeling. An exceptionally wide variety of computational models and techniques are being applied to the problem, due in part to the participation of scientists from so many different disciplines: chemistry, physics, molecular biology, computer science, and statistics, to name a few. This has made the field very exciting for those of us working in it, but it also poses a challenge; how can the key issues in state of the art research be communicated to different audiences, given the interdisciplinary nature of the task at hand and the methods being brought to bear on it?

The objective of this volume of *Advances in Chemical Physics* is to discuss recent advances in the computational modeling of protein folding for an audience of physicists, chemists, and chemical physicists. Many of the contributors to this volume have their roots in chemical physics but have committed a significant fraction of their resources to studying biological systems. The chapters thus address the target audience but incorporate approaches from other areas because they are relevant to the methods that the various authors have developed in their laboratories. While some of the chapters contain review sections, the principal focus is on the authors' own research and recent results.

When modeling protein folding the key questions are (a) the nature of the physical model to be used and (b) the questions that the calculations are aimed at answering. It is impossible in a single volume to cover all of the different approaches that are currently being used in research on protein folding. Nevertheless, a reasonably broad spectrum of computational methods is represented here, as is briefly described below. The volume is organized so as to group together contributions in which similar approaches are adopted.

The simplest models of proteins involve representations of the amino acids as beads on a chain (typically taken to be hydrophobic or hydrophilic, depending upon the identity of the amino acid) embedded in a lattice. Primitive models of this type employ a simple lattice such as a cubic lattice, and they use a single center to represent each amino acid. These models are very fast computationally, but lack a level of detail (both structurally and in their potential energy function) to permit prediction of protein structure from the amino acid sequence. On the other hand, they can be extremely valuable in providing conceptual insight into the general thermodynamic and kinetic issues as to why and how proteins fold into a unique native state; they can also be profitably used to model folding kinetics, as well as to make testable predictions for such kinetics that can be compared with experimental data. The contributions of Thirumulai et al. and Dinner et al. discuss models of this type, presenting both conceptual insights into the basis of protein folding and results for modeling of specific protein folding events.

Reduced models of proteins (i.e., models not containing complete atomic detail) can be used to make structural predictions, either by allowing assessment of the fitness of a protein structure already in the PDB as a model for an unknown sequence ("threading") or by carrying out Monte Carlo simulations using the model and a suitable potential energy function. The contribution by Meller and Elber describes a classical threading approach in which the amino acid sequence is "threaded" in an optimal fashion onto a set of candidate template structures using dynamic programming techniques, and the suitability of the template is evaluated by a potential energy function. These authors have worked out new methods for optimizing such functions, which are discussed in detail in their chapter.

If a reduced (or other) model is used to predict protein structure via simulation, without direct reference to structures in the PDB, this is referred to as “*ab initio* protein” structure prediction. Potential energy functions for *ab initio* prediction can be derived either from physical chemical principles or from a “knowledge-based” approach based on statistics from the PDB (e.g., the probability of observing a residue–residue distance for a given pair of amino acids). For reduced models, the use of knowledge-based potential of some sort is mandated. The contributions of Eyrich et al., Skolnick and Kolinsiki, and L’Heureux et al. derive originally from an *ab initio* approach using reduced models. However, all of these groups have in the past several years increasingly incorporated empirical elements from threading and other such approaches, so that what is described in these contributions is more of an attempt to integrate reduced model simulations with additional information and techniques that can improve practical structure prediction results. Several of these research groups have entered the CASP (Critical Assessment of Protein Structure Prediction) blind test experiments, which allow a comparative evaluation of the prediction accuracy of the different methods employed by the participants; results from the most recent such experiment, CASP4 (not reported in this volume because the results were available subsequent to submission of most of the chapters), were encouraging with regard to the ability of these hybrid methods to provide improvement in many cases over methods not incorporating simulations.

The use of models employing an atomic level of detail (e.g. a molecular mechanics potential function) in addressing the protein folding problem presents significant difficulties for two reasons: (1) A large expenditure of computation time is required to evaluate the model energy at each configuration; (2) the quality of the potential energy functions and solvation model are critical in being able to accurately compare the stability of alternative structures. The contribution by Klepeis et al. discusses both algorithms designed to reduce the required computational effort by sampling phase space more efficiently and a wide variety of applications of atomic level models using these more efficient sampling techniques. The contribution from Wallqvist et al. is more narrowly focused on a single problem: the use of detailed atomic potential functions in conjunction with a continuum solvation model to distinguish native and “native-like” protein structures from “decoys”—alternative structures generated by various means and intended to challenge the model’s accuracy. Both of these contributions demonstrate that considerable progress is being made in the application of atomic level models with regard to improving both accuracy and efficiency.

In the end, a thorough description of all aspects of protein folding will require the use of the full range of models and methods discussed in this volume. In the simplest hierarchical picture, one can imagine using inexpensive reduced models to generate low-resolution structures that can then be refined

using more detailed (and computationally expensive) approaches. Although progress will undoubtedly continue in the development of physical chemical models, empirical information and phenomenological approaches will always provide additional speed and reliability if practical results are desired. How to best combine all of these elements represents one of the principal issues facing those working in the field; it also exemplifies the need for new ideas and approaches.

Columbia University
New York, New York

RICHARD A. FRIESNER

CONTENTS

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF PROTEIN FOLDING KINETICS <i>By Aaron R. Dinner, Sung-Sau-So, and Martin Karplus</i>	1
INSIGHTS INTO SPECIFIC PROBLEMS IN PROTEIN FOLDING USING SIMPLE CONCEPTS <i>By D. Thirumalai, D. K. Klimov, and R. I. Dima</i>	35
PROTEIN RECOGNITION BY SEQUENCE-TO-STRUCTURE FITNESS: BRIDGING EFFICIENCY AND CAPACITY OF THREADING MODELS <i>By Jaroslaw Meller and Ron Elber</i>	77
A UNIFIED APPROACH TO THE PREDICTION OF PROTEIN STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION <i>By Jefferey Skolnick and Andrzej Kolinski</i>	131
KNOWLEDGE-BASED PREDICTION OF PROTEIN TERTIARY STRUCTURE <i>By Pierre-Jean L'Heureux, Benoit Cromp, Éric Martineau, and John Gunn</i>	193
AB INITIO PROTEIN STRUCTURE PREDICTION USING A SIZE-DEPENDENT TERTIARY FOLDING POTENTIAL <i>By Volker A. Eyrich, Daron M. Standley, and Richard A. Friesner</i>	223
DETERMINISTIC GLOBAL OPTIMIZATION AND AB INITIO APPROACHES FOR THE STRUCTURE PREDICTION OF POLYPEPTIDES, DYNAMICS OF PROTEIN FOLDING, AND PROTEIN-PROTEIN INTERACTIONS <i>By John L. Klepeis, Heather D. Schafroth, Karl M. Westerberg, and Christodouls A. Floudas</i>	265
DETECTING NATIVE PROTEIN FOLDS AMONG LARGE DECOY SITES WITH THE OPLS ALL-ATOM POTENTIAL AND THE SURFACE GENERALIZED BORN SOLVENT MODEL <i>By Anders Wallqvist, Emilio Gallicchio, Anthony K. Felts, and Ronald M. Levy</i>	459
AUTHOR INDEX	487
SUBJECT INDEX	507

SUBJECT INDEX

- Ab initio* prediction:
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations, decoy data sets, 465
 - polypeptide structure prediction, future issues, 360–362
 - sequence-structure-function paradigm, 138–143, 147–148
 - biochemical function, 174–175
 - distance geometry, 160
 - future issues, 180–186
 - generalized comparative modeling, 155–156
 - methodology, 165–167
 - test protein results, 167–169
 - unified folding method, 147–148
 - tertiary protein structure:
 - folding potential:
 - PDB-derived secondary structure simulations, 238–246
 - methodology, 238–241
 - size-dependent potential, 241–245
 - terminal loop definition and truncation, 245
 - three-dimensional topologies, 245–246
 - predicted-derived secondary structure simulations, 246–260
 - research background, 224–226
 - size-dependent potential energy
 - function, 226–237
 - error identification, 226–230
 - improvements in, 230–233
 - results, 233–237
 - knowledge-based approach vs., 195–197
- Active site identification, sequence-structure-function prediction, 172–174
- Adenosine triphosphate (ATP), chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, 64–66
 - unfolding activity, 66–67
- Alanine, oligopeptide structure prediction, computational studies, 303–312
- All-atom force-fields, native vs. decoy protein conformation, research background, 460–462
- α BB algorithm:
 - deterministic global optimization:
 - parallelization issues, 363
 - twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 270, 276–279
 - general nonconvex terms, 272–274
 - geometrical representation, 282–287
 - procedures and solutions, 276–279
 - solution enclosure, 279–282
 - oligopeptide structure prediction:
 - free energy modeling, 317–318
 - global optimization, 298–300
 - protein folding:
 - coil-to-helix transition, 371
 - global optimization approach, 367–369
 - minimum energy conformation, 393
 - sparse restraints, structural refinement, 345–347
- Alpha carbon representation, sequence-structure-function paradigm, *ab initio* prediction, 138–143
- Alpha-helical ground state, protein folding, coil-to-helix transition, reaction coordinates, 383–386
- AMBER all-atom force field model, native protein detection, 461
- Ambiguous constraints, tertiary protein structure:
 - implementation, 205
 - results, 208–209
- Amino acid substitutions, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 416–418
- Anfinsen's hypothesis:
 - chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, 63–66

- Anfinsen's hypothesis: (*Continued*)
 oligopeptide structure prediction, free energy modeling, 312–314
 protein folding mechanisms, 267–269
- Angle constraints, tertiary protein structure:
 implementation and results, 207–208
 knowledge-based prediction, 202
- ARAS program, protein-protein interactions,
 binding site structure prediction, 420–421
- ASP sets, oligopeptide structure prediction:
 computational studies, 301–312
 global optimization framework, 296–300
 solvation energy models, 293–296
- ASTRO-FOLD approach, polypeptide structure prediction, 360–362
- Atomic model reconstruction, sequence-structure-function prediction, 160–161
 reduced/atomic model compatibility:
 atomic reconstruction, 170–171
 structural refinement feasibility, 171–172
 structural reproducibility, 169–170
- Barnase, disulfide bond engineering, 62–63
- β -hairpin fold, ECEPP/3 prediction, 403–405
- β -strand-based superstructure, polypeptide structure prediction, 361–362
- β -strand pairing, tertiary protein structure, local templates, 217–218
- Bezier splines, tertiary protein structure, *ab initio* folding potential predictions, 229–230
- Binding affinity prediction, protein-protein interactions, 408–414, 430–442
 computational studies, 440–442
 decomposition techniques, 431
 flexible models, 411–414
 minimization scheme, 438–440
 modeling, 431–438
 rigid models, 410–411
- Binding site structure prediction, protein-protein interactions, 407–408
 computational studies, 421–430
 crystallographic data, 416–418
 computational studies, 421–424
 HLD-DR3 binding sites, 424–427
 murine structure binding sites, 427–430
 deterministic global optimization, 420–421
 histocompatibility molecules, 415
 modeling techniques, 418–420
- Biochemical function, sequence-structure-function prediction, 172–178
 ab initio folding, 174–175
 active site identification, 172–174
 future issues, 183–184
 threaded structures, 175–178
- BLAST profiling, sequence-structure-function prediction, Fischer database applications, 151
- BLOCKS technique, sequence-structure-function prediction:
 biochemical function prediction, 175–178
 future issues, 183–184
- BLOSUM matrix, sequence-structure-function prediction, first-pass threading, 148–149
- Born-Oppenheimer approximation, oligopeptide structure prediction, potential energy models, 288–291
- Bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI):
 disulfide bonds in protein folding, 53–55
 modeling techniques, 58–62
 protein folding kinetics, 56–58
- BPMPD program, energy parameter optimization, 89
- Branch-and-bound strategies:
 deterministic global optimization, 363
 sequence-structure-function prediction, threading procedures, 136–137
- Calcium-binding protein, tertiary protein structure, distance constraints, 206–207
- Cartesian molecular dynamics, sparse restraints, structural refinement, 342–345
- Cartesian root mean square deviation (cRMSD), protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 423–430
- Catchment regions, protein folding, potential energy surfaces, 367
- Chaperonin-facilitated protein folding
 mechanism, 63–69
 GroEL unfolding, 66–68
 stretching, unfolding by, 68–69
- CHARMM force field:
 native protein detection, 461
 solvation free energy model, 483–484
 potential energy surface, 404–405
 sequence-structure-function paradigm, reduced/atomic model compatibility, 171–172

- CLUSTALW database, sequence-structure-function prediction, first-pass threading, 148–149
- Clustering algorithm, protein folding, 396–397
- Coil-to-helix transitions, protein folding dynamics, 369–390
- pathways, 373–378
- rate disconnectivity graphs, 378–380
- reaction coordinates, 383–386
- solvated tetra-alanine, 386–390
- time evolution of quantities, 380–382
- transition rates and master equation, 371–373
- unsolvated tetra-alanine, 370–371
- Collapse time, two-state protein folding, 50–51
- Comparative modeling methods, sequence-structure-function prediction, 134, 155–165
- atomic model reconstruction, 160–161
- average model construction, 160
- Fischer database results, 161–164
- lattice chain, starting construction, 158
- lattice folding optimization, 158–159
- lattice model and force field, 157–158
- Modeller tool, 164–165
- Competing basins of attraction (CBA), protein folding mechanisms, 52–53
- Compstatin, sparse restraints, structural refinement:
- computational analysis, 347–353
- global optimization, torsion angle dynamics, 357–359
- Computational modeling:
- oligopeptide structure prediction, 301–312
- protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 421–430
- crystallographic data, 421–424
- HLA-DR3 binding site prediction, 424–427
- HLA-DR1 pockets, 440–442
- murine binding site prediction, 427–430
- sparse restraints, structural refinement, 347–353
- tertiary protein structure, 197–198
- Conformational Space Annealing (CSA) algorithm, protein folding:
- minimum energy conformation, 393–394
- stationary points searching, 365–366
- Conformational space reduction:
- protein folding, 43–44
- tertiary protein structure, 212
- Constraint methods, tertiary protein structure:
- knowledge-based prediction, 201–210
- ambiguous constraints, 205, 208–209
- angle constraints, 202, 207–208
- distance constraints, 202, 206–207
- implementation, 204–205
- predictions, derivation from, 203–204, 209–210
- refinement, 219
- Contact energies, protein folding kinetics, lattice models, 39
- Control sets, energy parameter optimization, 87–88
- Convexification, deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, feasible region convexification, 274
- Convex lower bounding:
- deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 275
- α BB algorithm, 277–279
- oligopeptide structure prediction, local minimum energy conformations, 318–321
- Coriolis forces, sparse restraints, structural refinement, 342–345
- Coulombic interactions:
- native vs. decoy protein conformations:
- dielectric model approximations, 478–480
- distance-dependent dielectric approximations, 480–481
- energy components, 476–478
- Park and Levitt decoys, 471–472
- SGB calculations, 463–464
- oligopeptide structure prediction, potential energy models, 290–291
- Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction (CASP) experiments:
- energy parameter optimization, 87–88
- native vs. decoy protein conformations:
- CASP3 targets, 474–475
- decoy data sets, 465
- predicted-derived secondary structure simulations:
- prediction contest results, 258–260
- tertiary protein structures, 247
- sequence-structure-function prediction:
- ab initio* prediction, 140–143, 174–175
- comparative modeling techniques, 134

- Critical Assessment of Techniques for Protein Structure Prediction (CASP)
 experiments: (*Continued*)
 threading techniques, 135–137
 tertiary folding simulation, PDB-derived and ideal structures, 238
 size-dependent energy functions, 241–245
 tertiary protein structure, knowledge-based prediction, 194–195
 THOM2 model, self-recognition of folds, 118–120
- Cross-validation, protein folding statistical analysis, 6
 database elements, 11–16
 two-descriptor models, 20–22
- Crystallographic data, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 421–424
- Cubic splines, tertiary protein structure, *ab initio* folding potential predictions, 229–230
- Cyclophilin A (CypA), chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, unfolding activity, 66–67
- Cysteine residues, disulfide bonds in protein folding, bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI), 53–55
- DALI program:
 energy parameter optimization, 87–88
 THOM2 potential, self-recognition of folds, 120
- Database properties, protein folding kinetics, 11–16
- Data overfitting, protein folding statistical analysis, 6
- Data sets, native vs. decoy protein conformations, 464–465
- Decoy protein structures, detection vs. native conformations:
 applications, 483–484
 approximate effective dielectric models:
 distance-dependent dielectric approximation, 480–481
 screened Coulomb approximation, 478–480
 CASP3 targets, 473–474
 decoy data sets, 464–465
 energy components, 474–478
 force field calculations, 462–464
 Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–473
 interior dielectric constant, 481–482
 Park and Levitt decoys, 465–472
 research background, 460–462
- Decoy structures:
 gap energy optimization, 101–107
 THOM1 potential, native intermediates, 97–100
- Deletions, gap energy structures, 107–108
- DELPHI software package, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 443–444
- Dense sequence space, protein folding kinetics, 44–49
- Designability issues, protein folding kinetics, dense sequence space, 47–48
- Deterministic global optimization:
 polypeptide structural prediction:
 computational models, 301–312
 free energy computational studies, 322–336
 free energy modeling, 312–314
 future issues, 360–362
 global optimization framework, 296–300
 harmonic approximation, 314–318
 local minimum energy conformation, 318–322
 parallelization, 363
 potential energy models, 288–291
 solvation energy models, 291–296
 sparse restraint structural refinement, 336–359
 algorithmic steps, 345–347
 computational study, 347–353
 DYANA vs. torsion angle dynamics (TAD), 353–356
 energy modeling, 339–341
 global optimization, 341
 torsion angle dynamics (TAD), 341–345, 356–359
- protein folding dynamics:
 α BB algorithm, 367–369
 coil-to-helix transitions, 369–390
 pathways, 373–378
 rate disconnectivity graphs, 378–380
 reaction coordinates, 383–386
 solvated tetra-alanine, 386–390
 time evolution of quantities, 380–382
 transition rates and master equation, 371–373
 unsolvated tetra-alanine, 370–371

- framework and implementations, 390–403
 - local stationary point search methods, 392–393
 - minima and transition rate search, 393–397
 - potential energy surface analysis, 397–403
- future research, 403–405
- potential energy surface, 366–367
- secondary structure formation, 364
- stationary points, 365–366
- protein-protein interactions:
 - binding affinity prediction, 408–414, 430–442
 - computational studies, 440–442
 - decomposition techniques, 431
 - minimization scheme, 438–440
 - modeling, 431–438
 - binding site structure prediction, 407–408, 414–430
 - class II HLA molecule structures, 415
 - computational studies, 421–430
 - modeling techniques, 418–420
 - prediction techniques, 416–418
 - programming tools, 420–421
 - future research issues, 442–444
 - research background, 404–414
- solution enclosure, 279–287
- twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 269–279
 - α BB algorithm, 276–279
 - convex lower bounding, 275
 - feasible region convexification, 274–275
 - nonconvex terms, underestimation, 272–274
 - special structure, underestimation, 270–272
 - variable bound updates, 275–276
- Dielectric models, native vs. decoy conformations:
 - distance-dependent dielectric approximation, 480–481
 - screened Coulomb approximation, 478–480
- Diffusion-collision model, folding rates of proteins, 10–11
- Dihedral angles, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 418–420, 432–435
- Distance constraints, tertiary protein structure: implementation and results, 206–207
 - knowledge-based prediction, 202
- Distance-dependent dielectric approximation, native vs. misfolded protein conformation, 480–481
- Distance geometry, sequence-structure-function prediction, 160
- Distance power-law potentials, pairwise models, 92–93
- Distant-dependent pair energies, threading potentials, protein recognition, 79–80
- Disulfide bonds, protein folding mechanism:
 - barnase engineering, 62–63
 - BPTI refolding, 53–55
 - modeling techniques, 58–62
 - proximity rule, 55–58
 - bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI), 55
 - folding kinetics, 56–58
 - loop formation probability, 55
- Double Z-score filter:
 - protein energy gaps, 112–114
 - THOM2 model, vs. pair energies, 120–126
 - THreading Onion Model 2 (THOM2), 114–126
 - HL test, 114–118
 - recognition excluded from training, 118–120
 - vs. pair energies, 120–126
- DYANA protocol, sparse restraints, structural refinement, torsion angle dynamics (TAD) vs., 353–356
- Dynamic programming (DP) algorithm:
 - energy parameter optimization, 87–88
 - protein recognition, 79
 - sequence-structure-function prediction, threading procedures, 136–138
- Dynamics analysis, protein folding dynamics, coil-to-helix transitions, 390–403
- ECEPP/3 program:
 - oligopeptide structure prediction:
 - global optimization, 299–300
 - potential energy models, 290–291
 - solvation energy models, 294–296
 - protein folding mechanisms:
 - coil-to-helix transitions, 369–370
 - solvated tetra-alanine, 386–390
 - potential energy surfaces, 403–405
 - protein-protein interactions:
 - binding site structure prediction:

- ECEPP/3 program: (*Continued*)
 future issues, 442–444
 global optimization, 438–440
 solvation modeling, 438
 global optimization, 420–421
 sparse restraints, structural refinement:
 α BB algorithm, 345–347
 computational analysis, 348–353
 DYANA protocol vs., 354–356
 energy modeling, 340–341
 global optimization, torsion angle
 dynamics, 357–359
- Effective Energy Function (EEF1), potential
 energy surface, 404–405
- 1eh2 proteins, *ab initio* simulations, 259
- Eigenmode-following methods, protein folding:
 coil-to-helix transition, 371–373
 stationary points searching, 365, 392–393
 uphill searches, 394–395
- Energy-directed approach (EDA), oligopeptide
 structure prediction:
 computational studies, 322–336
 local minimum energy conformations,
 319–321
- Energy gaps:
 double Z-score filter, 112–114
 native vs. decoy protein conformations, 464
 Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–474
 screened Coulomb approximation,
 dielectric models, 478–480
 optimization protocols, 101–107
 protein folding kinetics, lattice models, 6–8
 Z-score filter, 108–112
- Energy minimization, oligopeptide structure
 prediction, global optimization
 framework, 297–300
- Energy parameter optimization, protein
 recognition, 85–87
 learning and control sets, 87–88
 linear programming protocol, 88–89
- Energy profile models:
 distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 minimal models, 91–92
 new energy profiles, 93–100
 parameter-free models, 90–91
 protein recognition, 83–85
- Entropic states, oligopeptide structure
 prediction:
 free energy modeling, 313–314
 harmonic approximation, 314–316
- Equilibrium probability, protein folding,
 potential energy surface, 398–399
- Escherichia coli*, sequence-structure-function
 prediction:
 future issues, 183–186
 genome-scale threading, 153
- ESMC methods, sequence-structure-function
 prediction, 144–146
- Euler angles, protein-protein interactions,
 binding site structure prediction,
 418–420
- Excluded volume interactions, conformational
 space reduction, 43–44
- Exhaustive enumeration, protein folding
 kinetics, lattice models, 41
- Family protein recognition, THOM2 model vs.
 pair energies, 122–126
- FASTA database, sequence-structure-function
 prediction, first-pass threading,
 148–149
- Fill algorithm, protein folding, 395–396
- First-pass threading, sequence-structure-
 function prediction, 148–149
- Fischer database, sequence-structure-function
 prediction:
 generalized comparative modeling, 161–164
 PROSPECTOR2 applications, 152
 threading procedures, 149–151
- Flavodoxin (2FX2), tertiary protein structure,
 ambiguous constraints, 208–209
- Flexible-angle constraints, tertiary protein
 structure, constraint analysis, 203–204
- Flexible models, protein-protein interactions,
 binding affinity prediction, 411–414
- Folding rates of proteins:
 statistical analysis, 9–26
 database, 11–16
 multiple-descriptor models, 19–24
 physical observations, 24–26
 review, 9–11
 single-descriptor models, 16–19
 tertiary protein structure, *ab initio* predictions:
 PDB-derived secondary structure
 simulations, 238–246
 methodology, 238–241
 size-dependent potential, 241–245
 terminal loop definition and truncation,
 245
 three-dimensional topologies, 245–246

- predicted-derived secondary structure simulations, 246–260
- research background, 224–226
- size-dependent potential energy function, 226–237
 - error identification, 226–230
 - improvements in, 230–233
 - results, 233–237
- Force field potentials:
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations, 462–464
 - sparse restraints, structural refinement, energy modeling, 339–341
- Fragment lists:
 - protein-protein interactions, binding affinity prediction, 413–414
 - tertiary protein structure, search space limitation, local threading, 211–212
- Fragment screening, tertiary protein structure, search space limitation, 212–213
- Free energy directed approach (FEDA), oligopeptide structure prediction, local minimum energy conformations, 321
- Free energy global minium (FEGM), oligopeptide structure prediction, computational studies, 322–336
- Free energy modeling:
 - oligopeptide structure prediction, 312–318
 - computational studies, 322–336
 - protein folding:
 - coil-to-helix transition, 371–373
 - potential energy surface, 398
- Frozen environment approximation (FEA):
 - sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - first-pass threading, 148–149
 - threading procedures, 136–138
 - THOM2 model, vs. pair energies, 120–126
- Fuzzy functional forms (FFF), sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - active site identification, 173–174
 - biochemical function prediction, 175–178
 - future issues, 183–184
- Gap energies:
 - deletions, 107–108
 - global alignments vs. local alignments, 108
 - optimization protocols, 101–107
 - training protocols, 103–107
- Gapless threading:
 - distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 - energy parameter optimization, 86–87
 - learning and control sets, 87–88
 - pseudo-native structures, 104–107
- Gaussian distribution, protein folding kinetics, random bond model, 40
- GeneComp modeling, sequence-structure-function prediction, 183–186
- General nonconvex terms, deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 272–274
 - α BB algorithm, 276–279
- Genetic algorithms:
 - protein folding statistical analysis, 5–6
 - three-descriptor models, 22–24
 - protein-protein interactions, binding affinity prediction, 413–414
 - sequence-structure-function prediction, *ab initio* prediction, 139–143
- Genetic neural network (GNN) method, protein folding statistical analysis, lattice models, 8
- Geometrical representation:
 - deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 282–287
 - protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 418–420
 - tertiary protein structure, 198–199
 - knowledge-based prediction, 202–203
- Gerschgorin's theorem, deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 273–274
- Gibbs-Boltzmann distribution, oligopeptide structure prediction, free energy modeling, 313–314
- Global alignments, protein energy gaps, 108
 - double Z-score filter, 112–114
- Global minimization. *See also* Deterministic global optimization
 - native protein detection, 461–462
 - oligopeptide structure prediction, 297–300
 - sparse restraints, structural refinement, 341
 - torsion angle dynamics (TAD), 356–359
 - twice continuously differentiable NLPs, solution enclosure, 280–282
- Globular proteins:
 - sequence-structure-function paradigm, *ab initio* folding, 164–169

- Globular proteins: (*Continued*)
 tertiary protein structure, distance constraints, 206–207
- GLO-DOCK software, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 435–436
- GLO-FOLD software, oligopeptide structure prediction, global optimization, 299–300
- Glutathione, protein folding kinetics, 57–58
- Go model:
 protein folding kinetics, 40–41
 two-state protein folding, 51
- Gradient discontinuities, oligopeptide structure prediction, solvation energy models, 293–296
- Graph-theory techniques, protein folding, coil-to-helix transition pathways, 374–378
- Grid search algorithm, protein folding stationary points, 394
- GroEL proteins:
 chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, 64–66
 stretching-induced unfolding, 68–69
 unfolding activity, 66–67
 disulfide bonds in protein folding, modeling techniques, 60–62
- GroES proteins, chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, 64–65
- Harmonic approximation, oligopeptide structure prediction, 314–316
 potential energy models, 289–291
- Helix pairing, tertiary protein structure:
 constraint analysis, 203–204
 fragment-based modeling, 213–214
- Hessian matrix:
 deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 273–274
 oligopeptide structure prediction, harmonic approximation, 315–316
 protein folding:
 global optimization, 369
 potential energy surface, vibrational frequencies, 397–398
 stationary points searching, 392–393
- Hierarchical search algorithm, tertiary protein structure, 199–200
 search space limitation, 212–213
- Hinds-Levitt (HL) set:
 distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 double Z-score filter, TThreading Onion Model 2 (THOM2) testing, 114–118
 energy parameter optimization, 87–88
 minimal models, 91–92
 parameter-free optimization, 90–91
 THOM1 potential, 94–100
 Z-score filter testing, 111–112
- HLA-DR1 pockets, protein-protein interactions, binding affinity evaluation, 440–442
- Holm and Sander single decoys, native vs. decoy protein conformations, 472–474
- Homologous proteins:
 energy gap deletions, 107–108
 gap penalty training, 103–107
 HL test of, 116–118
 protein folding statistical analysis, 28–29
 tertiary protein structure, knowledge-based prediction, 214–218
 identification, 215
 local templates, 217–218
- Homology modeling:
 protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 407–408
 sequence-structure-function paradigm, 147
 sequence-structure-function prediction, 134, 155–165
 atomic model reconstruction, 160–161
 average model construction, 160
 Fischer database results, 161–164
 lattice chain, starting construction, 158
 lattice folding optimization, 158–159
 lattice model and force field, 157–158
 Modeller tool, 164–165
- Hooke's law, oligopeptide structure prediction, potential energy models, 289–291
- Hydration shell, oligopeptide structure prediction:
 computational studies, 302–312
 solvation energy models, 292–296
- Hydrophobic contacts:
 native vs. decoy protein conformations, Park and Levitt decoys, 470–472
 tertiary protein structure:
 ab initio predictions, folding potential, 226–230
 local templates, 218

- Hydrophobic-polar model, protein folding kinetics, 40
- Hydrophobic residue-based superstructure, polypeptide structure prediction, 360–362
- Hydrophobic zipper hypothesis, protein folding statistical analysis, 25–26
- IMPACT modeling program, native vs. decoy protein conformations, 462–464
- Interior dielectric constant, native vs. decoy protein conformations, 481–482
- Interior point algorithm, energy parameter optimization, 89
- Isothermal simulations, sequence-structure-function prediction, 144–146
- Iterative threading, sequence-structure-function prediction, 151–152
 - genome-scale threading, 152–153
- JRPRED structures, tertiary protein simulations, 247
 - protocols, 248–250
- JRF parameters, oligopeptide structure prediction:
 - computational studies, 301–312
 - global minimization, 296–300
 - solvation energy models, 294–296
- Kinetic partitioning mechanism (KPM), protein folding mechanisms, 52–53
- Knowledge-based prediction:
 - native vs. decoy conformations
 - applications, 483–484
 - approximate effective dielectric models:
 - distance-dependent dielectric approximation, 480–481
 - screened Coulomb approximation, 478–480
 - CASP3 targets, 473–474
 - decoy data sets, 464–465
 - energy components, 474–478
 - force field calculations, 462–464
 - Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–473
 - interior dielectric constant, 481–482
 - Park and Levitt decoys, 465–472
 - research background, 460–462
- tertiary structure:
 - constraint methods, 201–210
 - ambiguous constraints, 205, 208–209
 - angle constraints, 202, 207–208
 - distance constraints, 202, 206–207
 - implementation, 204–205
 - predictions, derivation from, 203–204, 209–210
 - constraint refinement, 219
 - homology and structural templates, 214–218
 - identification, 215
 - local templates, 217–218
 - multiple templates, 215–217
 - protein modeling, 197–201
 - computational models, 197–198
 - geometrical representations, 198–199
 - scoring functions, 200–201
 - search algorithms, 199–200
 - research issues, 194–197
 - search space limitation, 210–214
 - fragment screening and enrichment, 212–213
 - local threading and fragment lists, 211–212
 - secondary structure modeling, 213–214
 - threading principle, 210–211
 - sequence-specific potentials, 218–219
- Kronecker delta function, protein folding kinetics, lattice models, 39
- Lattice models:
 - disulfide bonds in protein folding, 58–62
 - protein folding kinetics, 6–8, 29–30, 38
 - basic principles, 38–41
 - computational models, 41–43
 - contact energies, 39
 - dense sequence space, 44–49
 - exhaustive enumeration, 41
 - Go model, 40–41
 - hydrophobic-polar model, 40
 - intermediates, 69–70
 - Monte Carlo simulation, 41–42
 - multiple histogram technique, 42
 - random bond model, 40
 - side chain models, 41
 - statistically derived pairwise potentials, 40
 - sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - ab initio* prediction, 140–143
 - folding optimization, 158–159
 - force field parameters, 157–158
 - future issues, 180–182
 - lattice chain, starting construction, 158

- Lattice models: (*Continued*)
 tertiary protein structure, search algorithm,
 199–200
- Learning sets, energy parameter optimization,
 87–88
- Length cutoff, protein folding, coil-to-helix
 transition pathways, 374–378
- Lennard-Jones potentials:
 distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 oligopeptide structure prediction, potential
 energy models, 290–291
 pairwise potentials, 82–83
 sequence-structure-function paradigm,
 ab initio prediction, 138–139
- Levinthal paradox:
 conformational space reduction, 43–44
 protein folding kinetics, 36–38, 267–269
- Ligand identification:
 protein-protein interactions, binding affinity
 prediction, 410–411
 sequence-structure-function prediction,
 low-resolution structures, 178–180
 future improvements, 184
- Linear programming:
 energy parameter optimization, 88–89
 pairwise potentials:
 distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 minimal models, 91–92
 new energy profiles, 93–100
 parameter-free models, 90–91
 protein recognition, energy parameter
 calculations, 79–80
 THOM1 potential, 94–100
 threading potentials, 101–102
- Linear regression, protein folding statistical
 analysis, 4–5
- single-descriptor models, 16–19
- Local alignments:
 protein energy gaps, 108
 double Z-score filter, 113–114
 tertiary protein structure, search space
 limitation, local threading, 211–212
- Local minimum energy conformations,
 oligopeptide structure prediction,
 318–321
- Local templates, tertiary protein structure,
 217–218
- Long helices, *ab initio* folding simulation,
 257–258
- Loop formation probability, protein folding:
 kinetics, 56–58
 proximity rule, 55
- LOOPP program, protein recognition, gap
 penalties, THOM1 and THOM2 models,
 106–107
- Loop segments:
 ab initio folding simulation, 257–258
 tertiary protein structure, hierarchical search
 space limitation, 212–213
- Low-resolution structures, sequence-structure-
 function prediction, ligand identification,
 178–180
- future improvements, 184
- M. genitalium*, sequence-structure-function
 prediction:
 future issues, 183–186
 genome-scale threading, 152–153
- Major histocompatibility complex (MHC),
 protein-protein interactions:
 binding affinity prediction, 414
 computational studies, 440–442
 decomposition techniques, 431
 HLA-DR1 pockets, 440–442
 minimization schemes, 438–440
 modeling procedures, 431–438
 binding site structure prediction, 407–408,
 415–418
 HLD-DR3 binding sites, 424–427
- Many-body effects, pairwise interactions,
 99–100
- Master equation, coil-to-helix transition,
 time-evolution of quantities, 380–382
- Met-enkephalin, oligopeptide structure
 prediction, computational studies,
 304–312, 326–336
- Metropolis criterion:
 sequence-structure-function prediction,
 145–146
 tertiary protein structure, scoring functions,
 200–201
- Minimal models:
 coil-to-helix transition:
 pathway determination, 374–378
 rate disconnectivity graph, 378–380
 optimization, 91–92
 protein folding, transition state algorithms,
 393–397
 tertiary protein structure:
 geometrical representation, 198–199

- search algorithm, 199–200
- Minimum energy structure (MES), protein folding kinetics, dense sequence space, 46–49
- Minimum-saddle-minimum triples, protein folding:
 - potential energy surfaces, 366–367
 - stationary points searching, 395
- Misthreaded structures, THOM1 potential, 94–100
- MODELLER technique, sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - comparative modeling, 134, 164–165
 - Fischer database results, 161–164
- Moderate protein folding, mechanisms, 52–53
- Molecular dynamics, oligopeptide structure prediction, solvation energy models, 292–296
- Molecular mechanics models, oligopeptide structure prediction, potential energy models, 288–291
- Monod-Wyman-Changeaux model, chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, 65–66
- MONSSTER *ab initio* folding algorithm, sequence-structure-function prediction, 174–175
 - reduced/atomic model compatibility, 171–172
 - threading-based prediction, 153–155
- Monte Carlo plus minimization (MCM) strategy:
 - oligopeptide structure prediction, local minimum energy conformations, 321
 - tertiary folding simulation:
 - PDB-derived and ideal structures, 238–241
 - predicted-derived secondary structure protocols, 248–250
- Monte Carlo simulation:
 - oligopeptide structure prediction, solvation energy models, 292–296
 - protein folding kinetics, 6–8
 - lattice models, 38–41, 41–42
 - protein-protein interactions, binding affinity prediction, 412–414
 - sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - ab initio* prediction, 139–143
 - sampling structure selection, 143–146
 - threading procedures, 136–137
- Motif library, tertiary protein structure, search space limitation, local threading, 211–212
- MSEED algorithm:
 - oligopeptide structure prediction:
 - global optimization, 299–300
 - solvation energy models, 293–296
 - protein-protein interactions:
 - binding site structure prediction:
 - modeling techniques, 435–436
 - solvation modeling, 437–438
 - global optimization, 420–421
- Multiple-descriptor models, protein folding
 - kinetic statistical analysis, 19–24
 - three-descriptor models, 22–24
 - two-descriptor models, 20–22
- Multiple histogram, protein folding kinetics, lattice models, 42
- Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) generation, sequence-structure-function prediction, first-pass threading, 148–149
- Multiple templates, tertiary protein structure, knowledge-based prediction, 215–217
- Murine binding site prediction, protein-protein interactions, 427–430
- Myoglobin (1MBA), tertiary protein structure, distance constraints, 206–207
- Myoglobin (1MBO), tertiary protein structure:
 - ambiguous constraints, 208–209
 - angle constraints, 207–208
 - structural template identification, 215
- Native protein structures:
 - deletions, 107–108
 - disulfide bonds in protein folding:
 - bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI), 55
 - modeling techniques, 58–62
 - energy parameter optimization, 86–87
 - gap energy optimization, 101–107
 - THOM1 potential, decoy structures, 97–100
 - THOM2 potential:
 - HL test, 118
 - self-recognition of folds, 120
 - vs. decoy conformations:
 - applications, 483–484
 - approximate effective dielectric models:
 - distance-dependent dielectric approximation, 480–481

- Native protein structures: (*Continued*)
 screened Coulomb approximation,
 478–480
 CASP3 targets, 473–474
 decoy data sets, 464–465
 energy components, 474–478
 force field calculations, 462–464
 Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–473
 interior dielectric constant, 481–482
 Park and Levitt decoys, 465–472
 research background, 460–462
- Nearly bimodal distribution (NBD), two-state
 protein folding, 49–51
- Needleman-Wunsch global alignment program,
 sequence-structure-function prediction,
 first-pass threading, 149
- Negative energies, Z-score filter testing,
 109–112
- Neural networks:
 folding rates of proteins, 9–11
 protein folding statistical analysis, 4–5
 single-descriptor models, 16–19
- Newton-Raphson method, protein folding:
 searching strategies, 365–366
 stationary points searching, 392–393
- Nonbonded energy terms, oligopeptide structure
 prediction, potential energy models,
 290–291
- Non-native intermediates, disulfide bonds in
 protein folding:
 bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI),
 54–55
 modeling techniques, 58–62
- NPSOL program:
 protein-protein interactions:
 binding site structure prediction, 435–436
 global optimization, 438–440
 global optimization, 420–421
 sparse restraints, structural refinement, α BB
 algorithm, 345–347
- Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR):
 sparse restraints:
 computational study, 347–353
 structural refinement, 338–359
 tertiary protein structure, constraint analysis,
 201–210
- Nuclear Overhauser effect, sparse restraints,
 structural refinement:
 computational study, 348–353
 energy modeling, 339–341
- Oligopeptide structural prediction, deterministic
 global optimization:
 computational models, 301–312
 free energy computational studies, 322–336
 free energy modeling, 312–314
 global optimization framework, 296–300
 harmonic approximation, 314–318
 local minimum energy conformation,
 318–322
 potential energy models, 288–291
 solvation energy models, 291–296
- 1aj3 protein, *ab initio* folding simulation, 256
- 1am3 protein, *ab initio* folding simulation,
 256–257
- 1bg8-chain A protein, *ab initio* simulations,
 259–260
- 1mzm protein, *ab initio* folding simulation,
 257
- OONS parameter set, oligopeptide structure
 prediction:
 computational studies, 301–312
 solvation energy models, 293–296
- Open reading frames (ORFs), sequence-
 structure-function paradigm, 132
- genome-scale threading, 153
- OPLS-AA force field, native vs. decoy
 conformations:
 applications, 483–484
 approximate effective dielectric models:
 distance-dependent dielectric
 approximation, 480–481
 screened Coulomb approximation,
 478–480
- CASP3 targets, 473–474
 decoy data sets, 464–465
 energy components, 474–478
 force field calculations, 462–464
 Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–473
 interior dielectric constant, 481–482
 Park and Levitt decoys, 465–472
 research background, 460–462
- Optimization cycle. *See also* Deterministic
 global optimization
 tertiary protein structure, *ab initio* folding
 prediction, potential energy function,
 231–237
- PACK program:
 oligopeptide structure prediction, global
 optimization, 299–300

- protein-protein interactions:
 - binding site structure prediction, 435–436
 - global optimization, 438–440
 - global optimization, 420–421
 - sparse restraints, structural refinement:
 - α BB algorithm, 345–347
 - computational analysis, 349–353
- Pair energies, THOM2 recognition vs., 120–126
- Pair interactions:
 - sequence-structure-function prediction, threading procedures, 135–136
 - statistics of, 98–100
- Pairwise potentials:
 - distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 - many-body effects, 99–100
 - minimal models, 91–92
 - new energy profiles, 93–100
 - parameter-free models, 90–91
 - protein folding kinetics, 40
 - THreading Onion Model (THOM), 81–83
 - threading potentials, protein recognition, 79–80
- Parallelization, deterministic global optimization, 363
- Parameter-free models, optimization, 90–91
- Park and Levitt decoys:
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations, 466–472
 - energy components, 476–478
 - interior dielectric constant, 481–482
- “Partially thawed” approximation, sequence-structure-function prediction, first-pass threading, 148–149
- Pathway determination, protein folding:
 - coil-to-helix transition, 373–378
 - potential energy surface, 400–402
- Pearson linear correlation coefficient, protein folding statistical analysis, 3–4
- lattice models, 7–8
- single-descriptor models, 16–19
- Penalty functions, tertiary protein structure, constraint implementation, 204–205
- PhD structures, tertiary protein simulations, 247
- Phenomenological models:
 - folding rates of proteins, 10–11
 - protein folding kinetics, 37–38
- Pocket definition, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 416–418
- global optimization, 420–421
- HLA-DR1 pockets, 440–442
- modeling techniques, 431–435
- Poisson-Boltzmann equation:
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations:
 - SGB calculations, 464
 - solvation effects, 461–462
 - oligopeptide structure prediction, solvation energy models, 292–296
 - protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 443–444
- Polypeptide structural prediction, deterministic global optimization:
 - computational models, 301–312
 - free energy computational studies, 322–336
 - free energy modeling, 312–314
 - future issues, 360–362
 - global optimization framework, 296–300
 - harmonic approximation, 314–318
 - local minimum energy conformation, 318–322
 - potential energy models, 288–291
 - solvation energy models, 291–296
- Potential energy global minimum (PEGM), oligopeptide structure prediction, computational studies, 322–336
- Potential energy surface:
 - oligopeptide structure prediction, 288–291
 - protein folding mechanism:
 - equilibrium probabilities, 398–399
 - free energy calculation, 398
 - global optimization, 365–367
 - pathway definition, 400–402
 - rate disconnectivity graph, 402–403
 - time-dependent probabilities, 399–400
 - transition rates, 399
 - vibrational frequencies calculation, 397–398
 - tertiary protein structure, *ab initio* folding potential predictions, 230–237
- Potential of mean force (pmf), tertiary protein structure:
 - ab initio* folding potential predictions, potential energy function, 230–237
 - ab initio* predictions, folding potential, 226–230
- POU proteins, THOM2 model vs. pair energies, 120–126

- Predicted-derived secondary structure, *ab initio*
- predictions, folding potential, tertiary protein structure simulations, 246–260
 - ranking procedures, 250–260
 - simulation protocols, 248–250
 - techniques, 247
- Prediction techniques:
- knowledge-based prediction, tertiary structure:
 - constraint methods, 201–210
 - ambiguous constraints, 205, 208–209
 - angle constraints, 202, 207–208
 - distance constraints, 202, 206–207
 - implementation, 204–205
 - predictions, derivation from, 203–204, 209–210
 - constraint refinement, 219
 - homology and structural templates, 214–218
 - protein modeling, 197–201
 - research issues, 194–197
 - search space limitation, 210–214
 - sequence-specific potentials, 218–219
 - native vs. decoy conformations, 460–462
 - applications, 483–484
 - approximate effective dielectric models:
 - distance-dependent dielectric approximation, 480–481
 - screened Coulomb approximation, 478–480
 - CASP3 targets, 473–474
 - decoy data sets, 464–465
 - energy components, 474–478
 - force field calculations, 462–464
 - Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–473
 - interior dielectric constant, 481–482
 - Park and Levitt decoys, 465–472
 - research background, 460–462
 - polypeptide structure prediction:
 - computational models, 301–312
 - free energy computational studies, 322–336
 - free energy modeling, 312–314
 - global optimization framework, 296–300
 - harmonic approximation, 314–318
 - local minimum energy conformation, 318–322
 - potential energy models, 288–291
 - solvation energy models, 291–296
 - sequence-structure-function:
 - ab initio* prediction, 138–143
 - biochemical function, 174–175
 - methodology, 165–167
 - test protein results, 167–169
 - biochemical function, transition to, 172–178
 - ab initio* folding, 174–175
 - active site identification, 172–174
 - future issues, 183–184
 - threaded structures, 175–178
 - comparative modeling methods, 134, 155–165
 - atomic model reconstruction, 160–161
 - average model construction, 160
 - Fischer database results, 161–164
 - lattice chain, starting construction, 158
 - lattice folding optimization, 158–159
 - lattice model and force field, 157–158
 - Modeller tool, 164–165
 - experimental data and, 182–183
 - future improvements, 180–186
 - low-resolution structures, ligand identification, 178–180
 - future improvements, 184
 - reduced/atomic model compatibility:
 - atomic reconstruction, 170–171
 - structural refinement feasibility, 171–172
 - structural reproducibility, 169–170
 - research background, 132–134
 - sampling techniques, 143–146
 - threading procedures, 134–138
 - first-pass threading, 148–149
 - Fischer database applications, 149–151
 - genome-scale iterative threading, 152–153
 - iterative threading, 151–152
 - orientation-dependent pair potential, PROSPECTOR extension, 153
 - tertiary contacts, 153–155
 - unified folding method, 146–148
 - tertiary protein structure, constraint prediction, 209–210
- prePACK program, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 420–421
- PRODOCK software, protein-protein interactions, binding affinity prediction, 412–414

- “Progress variables,” disulfide bonds in protein folding, 53–55
- PROSITE patterning, tertiary protein structure, structural template identification, 215
- PROSPECTORIEN1-3, sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - biochemical function prediction, 176–178
 - threading-based prediction, 153–155
- PROSPECTOR/PROSPECTOR2 algorithms, sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - biochemical function prediction, 175–178
 - first-pass threading, 148–149
- Fischer database:
 - applications, 149–152
 - results, 161–164
- future issues, 180–186
- orientation-dependent pair potential, 153
- threading procedures, 137–138
- unified folding method, 147–148
- PROSPECTORQUASI1-3 algorithm, sequence-structure-function prediction, genome-scale threading, 152–155
- Protein Data Bank (PDB):
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations:
 - decoy data sets, 465
 - force field calculations, 462–464
 - sparse restraints, structural refinement, computational analysis, 349–353
 - tertiary protein structure:
 - ab initio* predictions, folding potential, 224–226
 - derived and ideal secondary structures, 237–246
 - potential energy function, 233–237
 - simulation errors, 226–230
 - constraint analysis, 203–205
 - knowledge-based prediction technique, 195–196
 - scoring functions, 200–201
 - structural template identification, 215
 - threading protocol, search space limitation, 210–211
- Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI), protein folding kinetics, 58
- Protein folding mechanisms:
 - chaperonin mechanisms, 63–69
 - GroEL unfolding, 66–68
 - stretching, unfolding by, 68–69
 - deterministic global optimization:
 - α BB algorithm, 367–369
 - coil-to-helix transitions, 369–390
 - pathways, 373–378
 - rate disconnectivity graphs, 378–380
 - reaction coordinates, 383–386
 - solvated tetra-alanine, 386–390
 - time evolution of quantities, 380–382
 - transition rates and master equation, 371–373
 - unsolvated tetra-alanine, 370–371
- framework and implementations, 390–403
 - local stationary point search methods, 392–393
 - minima and transition rate search, 393–397
 - potential energy surface analysis, 397–403
- future research, 403–405
- potential energy surface, 366–367
- secondary structure formation, 364
- stationary points, 365–366
- disulfide bonds:
 - barnase engineering, 62–63
 - BPTI refolding, 53–55
 - modeling techniques, 58–62
 - proximity rule, 55–58
- moderate folders, topological frustration, kinetic partitioning mechanism, 52–53
- native vs. decoy conformations:
 - applications, 483–484
 - approximate effective dielectric models:
 - distance-dependent dielectric approximation, 480–481
 - screened Coulomb approximation, 478–480
- CASP3 targets, 473–474
- decoy data sets, 464–465
- energy components, 474–478
- force field calculations, 462–464
- Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–473
- interior dielectric constant, 481–482
- Park and Levitt decoys, 465–472
- research background, 460–462
- proximity rules, 56–58
- statistical analysis:
 - folding rates, 9–26
 - database, 11–16
 - multiple-descriptor models, 19–24
 - physical observations, 24–26
 - review, 9–11
 - single-descriptor models, 16–19

- Protein folding mechanisms: (*Continued*)
 homologous proteins, 28–29
 lattice models, 6–8
 protein and lattice model studies, 29–30
 research background, 2–3
 techniques, 3–6
 unfolding rates, 26–28
 two-state folders, 49–51
- Protein-protein interactions, deterministic global optimization:
 binding affinity prediction, 408–414, 430–442
 computational studies, 440–442
 decomposition techniques, 431
 minimization scheme, 438–440
 modeling, 431–438
 binding site structure prediction, 407–408, 414–430
 class II HLA molecule structures, 415
 computational studies, 421–430
 modeling techniques, 418–420
 prediction techniques, 416–418
 programming tools, 420–421
 future research issues, 442–444
 research background, 404–414
- Protein recognition, sequence-to-structure fitness:
 deletion energy optimization, 107–108
 energy parameter optimization, 85–89
 learning and control sets, 87–88
 linear programming protocol, 88–89
 folds excluded from training, 118–120
 gap energy optimization, 100–107
 HL model test, 114–118
 LOOPP program, 126–127
 pair and profile energy evaluation, 89–100
 distance power-law potentials, evaluation, 92–93
 “minimal” models, 91–92
 parameter-free models, 90–91
 THOM models capacity, 93–100
 pairwise models, 81–83
 profile models, 83–85
 research background, 78–81
 self-recognition of folds, 118–120
 statistical significance of results, 108–114
 double Z-score filter, 112–114
 Z-score filter, 108–112
 THOM2 vs. pair energies, 120–126
 Proteome, interpretation techniques, 132
- Proximity rule:
 disulfide bonds in protein folding:
 bovine pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (BPTI), 55
 folding kinetics, 56–58
 loop formation probability, 55
 protein folding kinetics, 38
- Pseudo-native structures, gap energy optimization, 101–107
- PSI-BLAST sequence profiling, sequence-structure-function prediction:
 Fischer database applications, 151
 threading procedures, 137
 unified folding method, 146–148
- PSIPRED techniques:
ab initio simulations, final rankings, 250–260
 predicted-derived secondary structure simulations, tertiary protein structures, 246–247
- Quantitative structure-property relationships (QSPRs), protein folding statistical analysis, lattice models, 8
- Random alignment, energy gaps, Z-score filter, 109–112
- Random bond model, protein folding kinetics, 40
 dense sequence space, 45–49
- Randomized data, protein folding statistical analysis, two-descriptor models, 21–22
- Rate disconnectivity graph, protein folding:
 coil-to-helix transition, 378–380
 potential energy surface, 402–403
- Reaction coordinates, protein folding:
 coil-to-helix transition, 383–386
 potential energy surface, 400–402
 proximity rule, 55
- Recursion techniques, sparse restraints, structural refinement, 343–345
- Reduced/atomic model compatibility, sequence-structure-function prediction:
 atomic reconstruction, 170–171
 structural refinement feasibility, 171–172
 structural reproducibility, 169–170
- Reduced Radius Independent Gaussian Sphere (RRIGS) approximation:
 oligopeptide structure prediction:
 computational studies, 301–312
 energy-direct approach (EDA), 331–336

- global optimization, 299–300
- solvation energy models, 296–297
- protein folding dynamics, coil-to-helix transitions, 369–370
- coil-to-helix transitions, 387–390
- protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 435–436
- global optimization, 438–440
- solvation modeling, 437–438
- Replica exchange Monte Carlo (REMC), sequence-structure-function prediction, 146
- restrained lattice folding, 158–159
- Restrained lattice folding, sequence-structure-function prediction, 158–159
- Rice-Ramspurger-Kassel-Marcus (RRKM) theory, protein folding:
 - coil-to-helix transition, 371–373
 - potential energy surfaces, 367
 - transition rate cutoff, 399
- Rigid models, protein-protein interactions, binding affinity prediction, 410–411
- Root-mean-square-deviation (RMSD):
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations:
 - CASP3 targets, 474–475
 - decoy data sets, 464–465
 - dielectric models, screened Coulomb approximation, 478–480
 - energy components, 475–478
 - Holm and Sander single decoys, 473–474
 - interior dielectric constant, 481–482
 - Park and Levitt decoys, 466–472
 - protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, cRMSD, 423–430
 - sequence-structure-function paradigm:
 - ab initio* prediction, 138–139
 - folding, 167–169
 - Modeller comparisons, 164–165
 - reduced/atomic model compatibility, 169–172
 - threading-based prediction, tertiary contracts, 153–155
- sparse restraints, structural refinement:
 - computational analysis, 350–353
 - DYANA protocol, 353–356
- tertiary protein structure, *ab initio* folding prediction, 225–226
- PDB-derived and ideal structures, 238–241
- potential energy function, 231–237
- ROSETTA method, sequence-structure-function prediction:
 - ab initio* prediction, 141–143
 - future issues, 180–182
- Rotation matrices, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 432–435
- RUBISCO protein, chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, stretching-induced unfolding, 68–69
- Sampling procedures, sequence-structure-function prediction, 143–146
- SCKS global minimum structure, computational studies, 308–312
- SCOP database, sequence-structure-function prediction, 172
- Scoring functions:
 - native protein detection, research background, 460–462
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations, Park and Levitt decoys, 468–472
 - protein-protein interactions, binding affinity prediction, 408–414
 - tertiary protein structure, 200–201
 - constraint implementation, 204–205
- Search algorithms, tertiary protein structure, 199–200
- Search space limitation, tertiary protein structure, knowledge-based prediction, 210–214
- fragment screening and enrichment, 212–213
- local threading and fragment lists, 211–212
- secondary structure modeling, 213–214
- threading principle, 210–211
- Secondary protein structure:
 - fragment-based modeling, tertiary protein structure, 213–214
 - protein folding mechanism, global optimization, 364
 - tertiary folding simulation:
 - PDB-derived and ideal structures:
 - methodology, 237–241
 - physical model, 237
 - size-dependent potential, 241–245
 - terminal loop definition and truncation, 245
 - predicted-derived secondary structure, 246–260
 - ab initio* predictions, 250–260

- Secondary protein structure: (*Continued*)
 simulation protocols, 248–250
 techniques, 247
- SEGMOD program, native vs. decoy protein
 conformations, decoy data sets, 464–465
- Self-recognition, sequence-to-structure
 compatibility:
 folds excluded from training, 118–120
 HL test, 114–118
- Sequence alignment:
 sequence-structure-function paradigm,
 146–147
 tertiary protein structure, constraint analysis,
 203–204
- Sequence-specific potentials, tertiary protein
 structure, 218–219
- Sequence-structure-function paradigm:
 prediction techniques:
ab initio prediction, 138–143
 biochemical function, 174–175
 methodology, 165–167
 test protein results, 167–169
 biochemical function, transition to,
 172–178
ab initio folding, 174–175
 active site identification, 172–174
 future issues, 183–184
 threaded structures, 175–178
 comparative modeling methods, 134,
 155–165
 atomic model reconstruction, 160–161
 average model construction, 160
 Fischer database results, 161–164
 lattice chain, starting construction, 158
 lattice folding optimization, 158–159
 lattice model and force field, 157–158
 Modeller tool, 164–165
 experimental data and, 182–183
 future improvements, 180–186
 low-resolution structures, ligand
 identification, 178–180
 future improvements, 184
 reduced/atomic model compatibility:
 atomic reconstruction, 170–171
 structural refinement feasibility,
 171–172
 structural reproducibility, 169–170
 research background, 132–134
 sampling techniques, 143–146
 threading procedures, 134–138
 first-pass threading, 148–149
 Fischer database applications, 149–151
 genome-scale iterative threading,
 152–153
 iterative threading, 151–152
 orientation-dependent pair potential,
 PROSPECTOR extension, 153
 tertiary contacts, 153–155
 unified folding method, 146–148
- protein recognition:
 deletion energy optimization, 107–108
 energy parameter optimization, 85–89
 learning and control sets, 87–88
 linear programming protocol, 88–89
 gap energy optimization, 100–107
 HL model test, 114–118
 LOOPP program, 126–127
 pair and profile energy evaluation, 89–100
 distance power-law potentials,
 evaluation, 92–93
 “minimal” models, 91–92
 parameter-free models, 90–91
 THOM models capacity, 93–100
 pairwise models, 81–83
 profile models, 83–85
 research background, 78–81
 self-recognition of folds, 118–120
 statistical significance of results, 108–114
 double Z-score filter, 112–114
 Z-score filter, 108–112
 THOM2 vs. pair energies, 120–126
- Shifted Lennard-Jones potential (SLJ):
 distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 protein recognition, 82–83
- Side chain conformation:
 native vs. decoy protein conformations,
 469–472
 tertiary protein structure, 198–199
- Side chain-only (SICHO) models, sequence-
 structure-function prediction:
 atomic model reconstruction, 160–161
 future issues, 182–186
 lattice model force field, 157–158
 reduced/atomic model compatibility,
 169–172
- Side chains, protein folding kinetics, lattice
 models, 41
- Simulated annealing, protein-protein
 interactions, binding affinity prediction,
 412–414

- Simulation errors, tertiary protein structure,
 - ab initio* folding potential predictions, 227–230
- Single-descriptor models, protein folding
 - statistical analysis, 16–19
 - linear correlations, 16
 - neural network predictions, 16–19
- Single-domain proteins:
 - folding kinetics, 36–38
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations, 472–474
- Single-step potentials, protein recognition,
 - TThreading Onion Model (THOM), 81–83
- Site mapping, protein-protein interactions,
 - binding affinity prediction, 413–414
- Size-dependent potential energy function,
 - tertiary protein structure, *ab initio* predictions, folding potential, 226–237
 - error identification, 226–230
 - improvements in, 230–233
 - PDB-derived secondary structure, 241–245
 - results, 233–237
- Smith-Waterman algorithm, THOM2 model vs.
 - pair energies, 120–126
- Solvation energy models:
 - native vs. decoy protein conformations:
 - Coulomb approximation, dielectric models, 478–480
 - research background, 460–462
 - oligopeptide structure prediction, 291–296
 - computational studies, 301–312
 - protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 416–418, 437–438
- Sparse restraints, structural refinement,
 - 336–359
 - algorithmic steps, 345–347
 - computational study, 347–353
 - DYANA vs. torsion angle dynamics (TAD), 353–356
 - energy modeling, 339–341
 - global optimization, 341
 - torsion angle dynamics (TAD), 341–345, 356–359
- Square well potential (SWP), capacity
 - comparisons of new models, 93–100
- Stationary points, protein folding mechanism:
 - clustering algorithm, 396–397
 - eigenmode-following search, 392–393
 - fill algorithm, 395–396
 - Grid search, 394
 - research framework, 391–393
 - searching strategies, 365–366
 - SUMSL algorithm, 393
 - triples search, 395
 - unsolvated tetra-alanine, 370–371
 - uphill search, 394–395
- Statistical analysis, protein folding kinetics:
 - folding rates, 9–26
 - database, 11–16
 - multiple-descriptor models, 19–24
 - physical observations, 24–26
 - review, 9–11
 - single-descriptor models, 16–19
 - homologous proteins, 28–29
 - lattice models, 6–8
 - protein and lattice model studies, 29–30
 - research background, 2–3
 - techniques, 3–6
 - unfolding rates, 26–28
- Structure prediction techniques:
 - polypeptides:
 - computational models, 301–312
 - free energy computational studies, 322–336
 - free energy modeling, 312–314
 - global optimization framework, 296–300
 - harmonic approximation, 314–318
 - local minimum energy conformation, 318–322
 - potential energy models, 288–291
 - solvation energy models, 291–296
 - sequence-structure-function paradigm, 147–148
 - tertiary protein structure, knowledge-based
 - prediction, 214–218
 - identification, 215
 - local templates, 217–218
 - multiple templates, 215–217
- Substrate protein (SP), chaperonin-facilitated
 - protein folding mechanism, 64–66
 - stretching-induced unfolding, 68–69
- SUMSL algorithm, protein folding, stationary
 - points searching, 393
- Surface formulation of generalized Born model (SGB), native vs. decoy conformations:
 - applications, 483–484
 - approximate effective dielectric models:
 - distance-dependent dielectric approximation, 480–481

- Surface formulation of generalized Born model
 (SGB), native vs. decoy
 conformations: (*Continued*)
 screened Coulomb approximation,
 478–480
 CASP3 targets, 473–474
 decoy data sets, 464–465
 energy components, 474–478
 force field calculations, 462–464
 Holm and Sander single decoys, 472–473
 interior dielectric constant, 481–482
 Park and Levitt decoys, 465–472
 research background, 460–462
- Template competition, tertiary protein structure,
 216
- Tendamistat (3AIT), tertiary protein structure,
 distance constraints, 206–207
- TE potential:
 capacity comparisons of new models, 93–100
 distance power-law potentials, 92–93
 energy parameter optimization, 87–88
 minimal models, 91–92
 native alignments in, 98–100
 parameter-free optimization, 90–91
 THOM2 model vs. pair energies, 125–126
- Terminal loops, tertiary folding simulation,
 secondary structure definition and
 truncation, 245
- Tertiary contacts, sequence-structure-function
 prediction:
 restrained lattice folding, 158–159
 threading-based prediction, 153–155
- Tertiary protein structure:
ab initio predictions, folding potential:
 PDB-derived secondary structure
 simulations, 238–246
 methodology, 238–241
 size-dependent potential, 241–245
 terminal loop definition and truncation,
 245
 three-dimensional topologies, 245–246
 predicted-derived secondary structure
 simulations, 246–260
 research background, 224–226
 size-dependent potential energy function,
 226–237
 error identification, 226–230
 improvements in, 230–233
 results, 233–237
- knowledge-based prediction:
 constraint methods, 201–210
 ambiguous constraints, 205, 208–209
 angle constraints, 202, 207–208
 distance constraints, 202, 206–207
 implementation, 204–205
 predictions, derivation from, 203–204,
 209–210
 constraint refinement, 219
 homology and structural templates,
 214–218
 identification, 215
 local templates, 217–218
 multiple templates, 215–217
 protein modeling, 197–201
 computational models, 197–198
 geometrical representations, 198–199
 scoring functions, 200–201
 search algorithms, 199–200
 research issues, 194–197
 search space limitation, 210–214
 fragment screening and enrichment,
 212–213
 local threading and fragment lists,
 211–212
 secondary structure modeling, 213–214
 threading principle, 210–211
 sequence-specific potentials, 218–219
- Tetra-alanine:
 coil-to-helix transition, 370–371
 pathway determination, 374–378
 rate disconnectivity graph, 378–380
 protein folding, coil-to-helix transition,
 solvated model, 386–390
- Thermodynamic hypothesis:
 protein folding mechanisms, 268–269
 sequence-structure-function prediction,
 144–146
 tertiary protein structure, computational
 modeling, 197–198
- Threading Onion Model 1 (THOM1):
 capacity comparisons, 93–100
 dissection of potential, 94–100
 energy parameter optimization, linear
 programming, 88–89
 energy profiles, 83–85
 gap energy training, 104–107
 minimal models, 91–92
 pairwise models, 81–83
 parameter-free models, 90–91

- Threading Onion Model 2 (THOM2):
 capacity comparisons, 93–100, 98–100
 energy profiles, 83–85
 gap energy training, 104–107
 minimal models, 91–92
 pairwise models, 81–83
 testing of, 114–126
 HL test, 114–118
 protein recognition excluded from training, 118–120
 vs. pair energies, 120–126
- Threading protocols:
 comparison of potentials, 91–92
 linear programming (LP) protocol, 101–102
 protein recognition, 78–79
 sequence-structure-function prediction, 134–138, 147
 biochemical function prediction, 175–178
 first-pass threading, 148–149
 Fischer database applications, 149–151
 genome-scale iterative threading, 152–153
 iterative threading, 151–152
 orientation-dependent pair potential, PROSPECTOR extension, 153
 tertiary contacts, 153–155
 tertiary protein structure, search space
 limitation, knowledge-based prediction, 210–211
 local threading and fragment lists, 211–212
 THOM2 model, HL test, 114–118
- Three-descriptor models, protein folding kinetic statistical analysis, 22–24
- Time-dependent probabilities, protein folding, potential energy surfaces, 399–400
- Time-evolution of quantities, protein folding, coil-to-helix transition, 380–382
- Topological frustration, protein folding mechanisms, 52–53
- Torsional energy expression, oligopeptide structure prediction, potential energy models, 289–291
- Torsion angle dynamics (TAD), sparse restraints, structural refinement, 338–359
 algorithmic steps, 345–347
 global optimization, 356–359
 molecular dynamics, 341–345
 vs. DYANA protocol, 353–356
- Total energy profile, protein recognition, 83–85
- Transition rate cutoff, protein folding:
 coil-to-helix transition pathways, 374–378
 potential energy surface calculation, 399
- Transition states, protein folding, minima and first-order algorithms, 393–397
- Translation vector, protein-protein interactions, binding site structure prediction, 432–435
- Triose phosphate isomerase (TIM), sequence-structure-function prediction, 172
- Triples algorithm, protein folding:
 potential energy surfaces, 366–367
 stationary points searching, 395
- Twice continuously differentiable NLPs, deterministic global optimization, 269–279
 α BB algorithm, 276–279
 convex lower bounding, 275
 feasible region convexification, 274–275
 nonconvex terms, underestimation, 272–274
 special structure, underestimation, 270–272
 variable bound updates, 275–276
- Two-descriptor models, protein folding kinetic statistical analysis, 20–22
- Two-state protein folding:
 kinetics, 37–38
 mechanisms, 49–51
- Underestimating problems, deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, α BB algorithm, 277–279
- Unfolding rates:
 chaperonin-facilitated protein folding mechanism, 66–67
 stretching techniques, 68–69
 protein folding statistical analysis, 26–28
- Unified folding method, sequence-structure-function prediction, 146–148
- Univariate concave functions, deterministic global optimization, twice continuously differentiable NLPs, 270–272
- Uphill search algorithm, protein folding, 394–395
- Upper bound check (UBC), oligopeptide structure prediction:
 global optimization, 299–300
 local minimum energy conformations, 320–321
- Urey-Bradley distance, potential energy surface, 404–405

- Van der Waals interactions:
 native vs. decoy protein conformations,
 476–478
 oligopeptide structure prediction, potential
 energy models, 290–291
- Variable bound updates, deterministic global
 optimization, twice continuously
 differentiable NLPs, 275–276
- α BB algorithm, 276–279
- Vibrational frequencies, protein folding
 mechanism, potential energy surface,
 397–398
- Zero-parameter models, optimization,
 90–91
- Zimmerman codes, oligopeptide structure
 prediction, computational studies,
 325–336
- Z-score filter:
 energy gap alignments, 108–112
 native vs. decoy protein conformations, Park
 and Levitt decoys, 468–472
- THOM2 model:
 HL test, 114–118
 vs. pair energies, 120–126