Lecture 10 - Planning under Uncertainty (III)

Jesse Hoey School of Computer Science University of Waterloo

July 21, 2022

Readings: Poole & Mackworth (2nd ed.)Chapter 12.1,12.3-12.9

What should an agent do given:

- Prior knowledge possible states of the world possible actions
- Observations current state of world immediate reward / punishment
- Goal act to maximize accumulated reward

Like decision-theoretic planning, except model of dynamics and model of reward not given.

• We assume there is a sequence of experiences :

state, action, reward, state, action, reward,

- What should the agent do next?
- It must decide whether to:
 - explore to gain more knowledge
 - exploit the knowledge it has already discovered

Reinforcement Learning: "Bandit" problem



Each machine has a *Pr(win)* ... but you don't know what it is... Which machine should you play?

- What actions are responsible for the reward may have occurred a long time before the reward was received.
- The long-term effect of an action of the robot depends on what it will do in the future.
- The explore-exploit dilemma : at each time should the robot be greedy or inquisitive?

- search through a space of policies (controllers)
- Model Based RL : learn a model consisting of state transition function P(s'|a, s) and reward function R(s, a, s'); solve this as an MDP.
- Model-Free RL learn $Q^*(s, a)$, use this to guide action.

• Suppose we have a sequence of values:

 v_1, v_2, v_3, \ldots

And want a running estimate of the average of the first k values:

$$A_k = \frac{v_1 + \dots + v_k}{k}$$

Temporal Differences (cont)

• When a new value v_k arrives:

$$A_{k} = \frac{v_{1} + \dots + v_{k-1} + v_{k}}{k}$$

$$kA_{k} = v_{1} + \dots + v_{k-1} + v_{k}$$

$$= (k-1)A_{k-1} + v_{k}$$

$$A_{k} = \frac{k-1}{k}A_{k-1} + \frac{1}{k}v_{k}$$
Let $\alpha = \frac{1}{k}$, then
$$A_{k} = (1-\alpha)A_{k-1} + \alpha v_{k}$$

$$= A_{k-1} + \alpha(v_{k} - A_{k-1})$$

"TD formula"

• Often we use this update with α fixed.

Q-learning

- Idea: store Q[State, Action]; update this as in asynchronous value iteration, but using experience (empirical probabilities and rewards).
- Suppose the agent has an experience $\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$
- This provides one piece of data to update Q[s, a].
- The experience $\langle s, a, r, s' \rangle$ provides the data point:

 $r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q[s', a']$

which can be used in the TD formula giving:

$$Q[s, a] \leftarrow Q[s, a] + \alpha \left(r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q[s', a'] - Q[s, a] \right)$$

begin

initialize Q[S, A] arbitrarily observe current state s

repeat forever:

select and carry out an action *a* observe reward *r* and state *s'* $Q[s, a] \leftarrow Q[s, a] + \alpha (r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q[s', a'] - Q[s, a])$ $s \leftarrow s';$

end-repeat

end

- Q-learning converges to the optimal policy, no matter what the agent does, as long as it tries each action in each state enough (infinitely often).
- But what should the agent do?
 - exploit: when in state s, select the action that maximizes Q[s, a]
 - explore : select another action

- The ε-greedy strategy: choose a random action with probability ε and choose a best action with probability 1 - ε.
- Softmax action selection: in state *s*, choose action *a* with probability

$$\frac{e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}{\sum_{a} e^{Q[s,a]/\tau}}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is the temperature. Good actions are chosen more often than bad actions; τ defines how often good actions are chosen. For $\tau \to \infty$, all actions are equiprobable. For $\tau \to 0$, only the best is chosen.

- optimism in the face of uncertainty : initialize *Q* to values that encourage exploration.
- Upper Confidence Bound (UCB): Also store *N*[*s*, *a*] (number of times that state-action pair has been tried) and use

$$\arg\max_{a}\left[Q(s,a)+k\sqrt{\frac{N[s]}{N[s,a]}}\right]$$

where $N[s] = \sum_{a} N[s, a]$

Example: studentbot



state variables:

- tired: studentbot is tired (no/a bit/very)
- passtest: studentbot passes test (no/yes)
- **knows**: studentbot's state of knowledge (nothing/a bit/a lot/everything)
- goodtime: studentbot has a good time (no/yes)

Example: studentbot



studentbot actions:

- study: studentbot's knowledge increases, studentbot gets tired
- sleep: studentbot gets less tired
- **party**: studentbot has a good time, but gets tired and loses knowledge
- take test: studentbot takes a test (can take test anytime)

Example: studentbot



studentbot rewards:

- +20 if studentbot passes the test
- +2 if studentbot has a good time when not very tired

basic tradeoff: short term vs. long-term rewards

Studentbot Policy



- Model-based reinforcement learning uses the experiences in a more effective manner.
- It is used when collecting experiences is expensive (e.g., in a robot or an online game), and you can do lots of computation between each experience.
- Idea : learn the MDP and interleave acting and planning.
- After each experience, update probabilities and the reward, then do some steps of asynchronous value iteration.

- Model-based reinforcement learning uses the experiences in a more effective manner.
- It is used when collecting experiences is expensive (e.g., in a robot or an online game), and you can do lots of computation between each experience.
- Idea: learn the MDP and interleave acting and planning.
- After each experience, update probabilities and the reward, then do some steps of asynchronous value iteration.

Model-based learner

Data Structures: Q[S, A], T[S, A, S], R[S, A]Assign Q, R arbitrarily, T =prior counts α is learning rate observe current state s repeat forever: select and carry out action a observe reward r and state s' $T[s, a, s'] \leftarrow T[s, a, s'] + 1$ $R[s, a] \leftarrow \alpha \times r + (1 - \alpha) \times R[s, a]$ repeat for a while (asynchronous VI): select state s_1 , action a_1 let $P = \sum_{s_1} T[s_1, a_1, s_2]$ $Q[s_1, a_1] \leftarrow \sum \frac{T[s_1, a_1, s_2]}{P} \left(R[s_1, a_1] + \gamma \max_{a_2} Q[s_2, a_2] \right)$ $s \leftarrow s'$

- Q-learning does off-policy learning: it learns the value of the optimal policy, no matter what it does.
- This could be bad if the exploration policy is dangerous.
- On-policy learning learns the value of the policy being followed.

e.g., act greedily 80% of the time and act randomly 20% of the time

- If the agent is actually going to explore, it may be better to optimize the actual policy it is going to do.
- SARSA uses the experience $\langle s, a, r, s', a' \rangle$ to update Q[s, a].

SARSA

begin

```
initialize Q[S, A] arbitrarily
observe current state s
select action a using a policy based on Q
repeat forever:
```

```
carry out an action a
observe reward r and state s'
select action a' using a policy based on Q
Q[s, a] \leftarrow Q[s, a] + \alpha (r + \gamma Q[s', a'] - Q[s, a])
s \leftarrow s';
a \leftarrow a';
end-repeat
```

end

Large State Spaces

• Computer Go: 3³⁶¹states

• Atari Games $210 \times 160 \times 3$ dimensions (pixels)



▲ □ ▶ 21/34

Q-function Approximations

$$Q_{\mathsf{w}}(s,a) \approx \sum_{i} w_{ai} x_{i}$$

• Non-linear (e.g. neural network)

 $Q_{\mathsf{w}}(s,a) \approx g(\mathsf{x};\mathsf{w})$

Recall: Logistic Regression

Logistic function of linear weighted inputs:

$$\hat{Y}^{\overline{w}}(e) = f(w_0 + w_1X_1(e) + \cdots + w_nX_n(e)) = f\left(\sum_{i=0}^n w_iX_i(e)\right)$$

The sum of squares error is:

$$Error(E,\overline{w}) = \sum_{e \in E} \left[Y(e) - f\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i * X_i(e)\right) \right]^2$$

The partial derivative with respect to weight w_i is:

$$\frac{\partial Error(E,\overline{w})}{\partial w_i} = -2 * \delta * f'\left(\sum_i w_i * X_i(e)\right) * X_i(e)$$

where $\delta = (Y(e) - f(\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i X_i(e)))$. Thus, each example *e* updates each weight w_i by

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \eta * \delta * f'\left(\sum_i w_i * X_i(e)\right) * X_i(e)$$

▲ □ ▶ 23/34

Approximating the Q-function

• for experience tuple s, a, r, s' we have:

- target Q-function: $R(s) + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_w(s', a')$ or $R(s) + \gamma Q_w(s', a')$
- current Q-function: Q_w(s, a)

• Squared error:

$$Err(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left[Q_w(s, a) - R(s) - \gamma \max_{a'} Q_w(s', a') \right]^2$$

• Gradient:

$$\frac{\partial Err}{\partial \mathsf{w}} = \left[Q_{\mathsf{w}}(s,a) - R(s) - \gamma \max_{a'} Q_{\mathsf{w}}(s',a') \right] \frac{\partial Q_{\mathsf{w}}(s,a)}{\partial \mathsf{w}}$$

```
Given \gamma:discount factor; \alpha:learning rate
Assign weights \overline{w} = \langle w_0, \dots, w_n \rangle arbitrarily
begin
```

observe current state *s*

select action a

repeat forever:

carry out action a observe reward r and state s' select action a' (using a policy based on Q_w) let $\delta = r + \gamma Q_w(s', a') - Q_w(s, a)$ For i = 0 to n $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha \times \delta \times \frac{\partial Q_{w(s,a)}}{\partial w}$ $s \leftarrow s'; a \leftarrow a';$ end-repeat

end

 Linear Q-learning (Q_w(s, a) ≈ ∑_i w_{ai}x_i) converges under same conditions as Q-learning

$$w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha \left[Q_w(s, a) - R(s) - \gamma Q_w(s', a') \right] x_i$$

- Non-linear Q-learning (e.g. neural network, $Q_w(s, a) \approx g(x; w)$) may diverge
 - Adjusting w to increase Q at (s, a) might introduce errors at nearby state-action pairs.

Two tricks used in practice:

- 1. Experience Replay
- 2. Use two *Q* function (two networks):
 - Q network (currently being updated)
 - Target network (occasionally updated)

- Idea: Store previous experiences (*s*, *a*, *r*, *s'*, *a'*) in a buffer and sample a mini-batch of previous experiences at each step to learn by Q-learning
- Breaks correlations between successive updates (more stable learning)
- Few interactions with environment needed to converge (greater data efficiency)

- Idea : use a separate target network that is updated only periodically
- target network has weights \overline{w} and computes $Q_{\overline{w}}(s, a)$
- repeat for each (s, a, r, s', a') in mini-batch :

$$\mathsf{w} \leftarrow \mathsf{w} + \alpha \left[Q_{\mathsf{w}}(s, a) - R(s) - \gamma Q_{\overline{\mathsf{w}}}(s', a') \right] \frac{\partial Q_{\mathsf{w}}(s, a)}{\partial \mathsf{w}}$$

 $\bullet \ \overline{w} \leftarrow w$

Deep Q-Network

Assign weights $\overline{w} = \langle w_0, \dots, w_n \rangle$ at random in [-1, 1] begin

observe current state s

select action a

repeat forever:

carry out action a observe reward r and state s'select action a' (using a policy based on Q_w) add (s, a, r, s', a') to experience buffer Sample mini-batch of experiences from buffer For each experience $(\hat{s}, \hat{a}, \hat{r}, \hat{s}', \hat{a}')$ in mini-batch: let $\delta = \hat{r} + \gamma Q_{\overline{w}}(\hat{s}', \hat{a}') - Q_{w}(\hat{s}, \hat{a})$ $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \times \delta \times \frac{\partial Q_{\mathbf{w}}(\hat{s}, \hat{a})}{\partial w}$ $s \leftarrow s' \cdot a \leftarrow a' \cdot$ every c steps, update target $\overline{w} \leftarrow w$ end-repeat

end

Deep Q-Network for Atari



from: Mnih et. al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature 18(7540):529–533 2015.

Deep Q-Network vs. Linear Approx.



from: Mnih et al.. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. Nature 18(7540):529-533 2015.

- Include the parameters (transition function and observation function) in the state space
- Model-based learning though inference (belief state)
- State space is now continuous, belief space is a space of continuous functions
- Can mitigate complexity by modeling reachable beliefs
- optimal exploration-exploitation tradeoff.

• Recap