#### Introduction to Quantum Information Processing CS 467 / CS 667 Phys 467 / Phys 767 C&O 481 / C&O 681

#### Lecture 9 (2005)

Richard Cleve DC 3524 <u>cleve@cs.uwaterloo.ca</u>

Course web site at:

http://www.cs.uwaterloo.ca/~cleve/courses/cs467

#### Contents

Loose ends in discrete log algorithm
Universal sets of quantum gates

# Loose ends in discrete log algorithm Universal sets of quantum gates

#### **Discrete log algorithm (I)**

**Input:** *p* (*n*-bit prime), *g* (generator of  $Z_p^*$ ),  $a \in Z_p^*$ **Output:**  $r \in Z_{p-1}$  such that  $g^r \mod p = a$ 

**Example:** p = 7,  $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{*} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} = \{3^{0}, 3^{2}, 3^{1}, 3^{4}, 3^{5}, 3^{3}\}$  (hence 3 is a generator of  $\mathbf{Z}_{7}^{*}$ )

**Define**  $f: \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \to \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$  as  $f(x, y) = g^{x} a^{-y} \mod p$ Then  $f(x_{1}, y_{1}) = f(x_{2}, y_{2})$  iff  $(x_{1}, y_{1}) - (x_{2}, y_{2}) \equiv k(r, 1) \pmod{p-1}$ (for some k)



produces a random 
$$(s, t)$$
 such that  
 $(s, t) \cdot (r, 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow sr + t \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ 

#### **Discrete log algorithm (II)**



If gcd(s, p-1) = 1 then *r* can be computed as  $r = -ts^{-1} \mod p - 1$ 

The probability that this occurs is  $\phi(p-1)/(p-1)$ , where  $\phi$  is *Euler's totient function* 

It is known that  $\phi(N) = \Omega(N/\log\log N)$ , which implies that the above probability is at least  $\Omega(1/\log\log p) = \Omega(1/\log n)$ 

Therefore,  $O(\log n)$  repetitions are sufficient

... this is not bad—but things are actually better than that ... 5

#### Discrete log algorithm (III)

We obtain a random (s, t) such that  $sr + t \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ 

Note that each  $s \in \{0, ..., p-2\}$  occurs with equal probability

Therefore, if we run the algorithm *twice*: we obtain two independent samples  $s_1, s_2 \in \{0, ..., p-2\}$ 

If it happens that  $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$  then (by Euclid) there exist integers *a* and *b* such that  $as_1 + bs_2 = 1 \rightarrow r = -(at_1 + bt_2)$ 

**Question:** what is the probability that  $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$ ?

$$1 - \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \Pr[q / s_1] \Pr[q / s_2] > 1 - \sum_{q \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{q^2} > 0.54$$

Therefore, a *constant* number of repetitions suffices

#### **Discrete log algorithm (IV)**

**Another loose end:** our algorithm uses QFTs modulo p-1, whereas we have only seen how to compute QFTs modulo  $2^n$ 



A variation of our QFT algorithm would work for moduli of the form  $3^n$ , and, more generally, all **smooth** numbers (those that are products of "small" primes)

## Discrete log algorithm (V)

In fact, for the case where p-1 is smooth, there already exist polynomial-time *classical* algorithms for discrete log!

It's only the case where p-1 is **not** smooth that is interesting

Shor just used a modulus *close to* p-1, and, using careful error-analysis, showed that this was good enough ...

There are also ways of attaining good approximations of QFTs for arbitrary moduli (which we won't consider now)

# Loose ends in discrete log algorithm Universal sets of quantum gates

#### A universal set of gates (I)

**Main Theorem:** any unitary operation U acting on k qubits can be decomposed into  $O(4^k)$  CNOT and one-qubit gates

**Proof sketch** (for a slightly worse bound of  $O(k^24^k)$ ) :

We first show how to simulate a controlled-U, for any onequbit unitary U

**Straightforward to show:** every one-qubit unitary matrix can be expressed as a product of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^{i\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\delta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha/2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta/2) & \sin(\theta/2) \\ -\sin(\theta/2) & \cos(\theta/2) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\beta/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\beta/2} \end{bmatrix}$$

### A universal set of gates (II)

This can be used to show that, for every one-qubit unitary U, there exist A, B, C, and  $\lambda$ , such that:

• A B C = I• A B C = I•  $e^{i\lambda} A X B X C = U$ , where  $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  **Exercise:** show how this follows

The fact implies that



### A universal set of gates (III)

Controlled-U gates can also simulate <u>controlled-controlled-V</u> gates, for an arbitrary unitary one-qubit unitary V:



#### A universal set of gates (IV)

**Example:** Toffoli gate "controlled-controlled-NOT"



In this case, the one-qubit gates can be:

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi/4} \end{bmatrix}$$

## A universal set of gates (V)

From the Toffoli gate, *generalized* Toffoli gates (which are controlled-controlled-...-NOT gates) can be constructed:



#### A universal set of gates (VI)

From generalized Toffoli gates, *generalized controlled-U* gates (controlled-controlled-  $\dots$  -*U*) can be constructed:



(1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	${U}_{00}$	${U}_{01}$
$\left( 0 \right)$	0	0	0	0	0	${U}_{10}$	$U_{11}$ )

#### A universal set of gates (VII)

The approach essentially enables any k-qubit operation of the simple form  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ (1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	${U}_{00}$	0	0	${U}_{01}$	0	0	0	
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
0	${U}_{10}$	0	0	$U_{11}$	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 /	

to be computed with  $O(k^2)$  CNOT and one-qubit gates

In a spirit similar to Gaussian elimination, any  $2^k \times 2^k$  unitary matrix can be decomposed into a product of  $O(4^k)$  of these

#### A universal set of gates (VIII)

#### This completes the proof sketch\*

Thus, the set of *all* one-qubit gates and the CNOT gate are *universal* in that they can simulate any other gate set

**Question:** is there a *finite* set of gates that is universal?

Answer 1: strictly speaking, *no*, because this results in only countably many quantum circuits, whereas there are uncountably many unitary operations on k qubits (for any k)

\* Actually we proved a slightly worse bound of  $O(k^24^k)$ 

#### Approximately universal gate sets

Answer 2: yes, for universality in an approximate sense ...

To be continued ...

