#### Introduction to Quantum Information Processing CS 467 / CS 667 Phys 667 / Phys 767 C&O 481 / C&O 681

#### Lecture 1 (2005)

#### **Richard Cleve**

DC 3524 cleve@cs.uwaterloo.ca



#### **Moore's Law**



Following trend ... atomic scale in 15-20 years

Quantum mechanical effects occur at this scale:

- Measuring a state (e.g. position) disturbs it
- Quantum systems sometimes seem to behave as if they are in several states at once
- Different evolutions can interfere with each other

#### Quantum mechanical effects Additional nuisances to overcome? or New types of behavior to make use of?

[Shor, 1994]: polynomial-time algorithm for factoring integers on a *quantum computer* 

This could be used to break most of the existing public-key cryptosystems on the internet, such as RSA

## **Quantum algorithms**



#### Also with quantum information:

- Faster algorithms for combinatorial search [Grover '96]
- Unbreakable codes with short keys [Bennett, Brassard '84]
- Communication savings in distributed systems
  [C, Buhrman '97]
- More efficient "proof systems" [Watrous '99]

... and an extensive quantum information theory arises, which generalizes classical information theory

For example: a theory of quantum error-correcting codes



## This course covers the basics of quantum information processing

#### **Topics include:**

- Quantum algorithms and complexity theory
- Quantum information theory
- Quantum error-correcting codes\*
- Physical implementations\*
- Quantum cryptography
- Quantum nonlocality and communication complexity

\* Jonathan Baugh

## **General course information**

#### Background:

- classical algorithms and complexity
- linear algebra
- probability theory

#### **Evaluation:**

- 3 assignments (15% each)
- midterm exam (20%)
- written project (35%)

#### **Recommended text:**

"Quantum Computation and Quantum Information" by Nielsen and Chuang (available at the UW Bookstore)

## Basic framework of quantum information



- Probabilities  $p, q \ge 0, p+q=1$
- Cannot explicitly extract p and q (only statistical inference)
- In any concrete setting, explicit state is 0 or 1
- Issue of precision (imperfect ok)

- Can explicitly extract r
- Issue of precision for setting & reading state
- Precision need not be perfect to be useful

## **Quantum (digital) information**



- Amplitudes  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$
- Explicit state is  $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \rho \end{bmatrix}$
- Cannot explicitly extract  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (only statistical inference)
- Issue of precision (imperfect ok)

## **Dirac bra/ket notation**

**Ket:**  $|\Psi\rangle$  always denotes a column vector, e.g.  $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Convention:** 
$$|0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Bra:**  $\langle \Psi |$  always denotes a row vector that is the conjugate transpose of  $|\Psi \rangle$ , e.g.  $[\alpha_1^* \alpha_2^* \dots \alpha_d^*]$ 

<u>Bracket</u>:  $\langle \phi | \psi \rangle$  denotes  $\langle \phi | \cdot | \psi \rangle$ , the inner product of  $| \phi \rangle$  and  $| \psi \rangle$ 

## **Basic operations on qubits (I)**

(0) Initialize qubit to  $|0\rangle$  or to  $|1\rangle$ 

(1) Apply a unitary operation  $U(U^{\dagger}U=I)$ 

#### Examples:

Rotation:
$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$
NOT (bit flip): $\sigma_x = X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ Hadamard: $H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ Phase flip: $\sigma_z = Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ 



(\*) There exist **other** quantum operations, but they can all be "simulated" by the aforementioned types

**Example:** measurement with respect to a different orthonormal basis  $\{|\psi\rangle, |\psi'\rangle\}$ 

## Distinguishing between two states

Let be in state  $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$  or  $|-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$ 

Question 1: can we distinguish between the two cases?

#### **Distinguishing procedure:**

- 1. apply H
- 2. measure

This works because  $H |+\rangle = |0\rangle$  and  $H |-\rangle = |1\rangle$ 

**Question 2:** can we distinguish between  $|0\rangle$  and  $|+\rangle$ ?

Since they're not orthogonal, they *cannot* be *perfectly* distinguished ...

## *n*-qubit systems

Probabilistic states:

$\forall x, p_x \ge$	≥ 0
$\sum_{x} p_{x} = 1$	

 $p_{000}$ *p*<sub>110</sub>



Dirac notation:  $|000\rangle$ ,  $|001\rangle$ ,  $|010\rangle$ , ...,  $|111\rangle$  are basis vectors,

so 
$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{x} \alpha_{x} |x\rangle$$



... and the quantum state collapses

## Entanglement

**Product** state (tensor/Kronecker product):

 $(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)(\alpha'|0\rangle + \beta'|1\rangle) = \alpha\alpha'|00\rangle + \alpha\beta'|01\rangle + \beta\alpha'|10\rangle + \beta\beta'|11\rangle$ 

Example of an *entangled* state:  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|11\rangle$ 

... can exhibit interesting "nonlocal" correlations:



## Structure among subsystems

qubits: time



## **Quantum computations**

#### Quantum circuits:



"Feasible" if circuit-size scales polynomially

# Example of a one-qubit gate applied to a two-qubit system





 $\begin{aligned} |0\rangle|0\rangle &\rightarrow |0\rangle U|0\rangle \\ |0\rangle|1\rangle &\rightarrow |0\rangle U|1\rangle \\ |1\rangle|0\rangle &\rightarrow |1\rangle U|0\rangle \\ |1\rangle|1\rangle &\rightarrow |1\rangle U|1\rangle \end{aligned}$ 

#### The resulting 4x4 matrix is

 $U = \begin{vmatrix} u_{00} & u_{01} \\ u_{10} & u_{11} \end{vmatrix}$ 

$$I \otimes U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{00} & u_{01} & 0 & 0 \\ u_{10} & u_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

## **Controlled-***U* **gates**



#### Maps basis states as:

 $\begin{array}{l} |0\rangle|0\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle|0\rangle \\ |0\rangle|1\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle|1\rangle \\ |1\rangle|0\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle U|0\rangle \\ |1\rangle|1\rangle \rightarrow |1\rangle U|1\rangle \end{array}$ 

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{00} & u_{01} \\ u_{10} & u_{11} \end{bmatrix}$$

Resulting 4x4 matrix is controlled-U = $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{00} & u_{01} \\ 0 & 0 & u_{10} & u_{11} \end{bmatrix}$ 



**Note:** "control" qubit may change on some input states



