Journal of Integer Sequences, Vol. 3 (2000),
Article 00.2.9

The Akiyama-Tanigawa algorithm for Bernoulli numbers

Masanobu Kaneko
Graduate School of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan
Email address: mkaneko@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp

Abstract

A direct proof is given for Akiyama and Tanigawa's algorithm for computing Bernoulli numbers. The proof uses a closed formula for Bernoulli numbers expressed in terms of Stirling numbers. The outcome of the same algorithm with different initial values is also briefly discussed.

1 The Algorithm

In their study of values at non-positive integer arguments of multiple zeta functions, S. Akiyama and Y. Tanigawa [1] found as a special case an amusing algorithm for computing Bernoulli numbers in a manner similar to "Pascal's triangle" for binomial coefficients.

Their algorithm reads as follows: Start with the 0-th row $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \ldots$ and define the first row by $1\cdot(1-\frac{1}{2}), 2\cdot(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}), 3\cdot(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{4}), \ldots$ which produces the sequence $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \ldots$. Then define the next row by $1\cdot(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{3}), 2\cdot(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{4}), 3\cdot$

$(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{5}), \ldots$, thus giving $\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{3}{20}, \ldots$ as the second row. In general, denoting the m-th ($m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) number in the n-th ($n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) row by $a_{n,m}$, the m-th number in the $(n+1)$-st row $a_{n+1,m}$ is determined recursively by

$$a_{n+1,m} = (m+1) \cdot (a_{n,m} - a_{n,m+1}).$$

Then the claim is that the 0-th component $a_{n,0}$ of each row (the "leading diagonal") is just the n-th Bernoulli numbers B_n, where

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{x^n}{n!} = \frac{xe^x}{e^x - 1} \left(= \frac{x}{e^x - 1} + x \right).$$

Note that we are using the definition of the Bernoulli numbers in which $B_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. This is the definition used by Bernoulli (and independently Seki, published one year prior to Bernoulli). Incidentally, this is more appropriate for the Euler formula $\zeta(1-k) = -B_k/k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$) for the values of the Riemann zeta function.

2 Proof

The proof is based on the following identity for Bernoulli numbers, a variant of which goes as far back as Kronecker (see [4]). Here we denote by $\left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}$ the Stirling number of the second kind:

$$x^n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} x^{\underline{m}},$$

where $x^{\underline{m}} = x(x-1)\cdots(x-m+1)$ for $m \geq 1$ and $x^{\underline{0}} = 1$. (We use Knuth's notation [7]. For the Stirling number identities that we shall need, the reader is referred for example to [5].)

Theorem 1

$$B_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^m m! \left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\}}{m+1}, \qquad \forall n \geq 0.$$

We shall give later a proof of this identity for the sake of completeness. Once we have this, the next proposition ensures the validity of our algorithm.



Figure 1: Akiyama-Tanigawa triangle

Proposition 2 *Given an initial sequence $a_{0,m}$ $(m = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$, define the sequences $a_{n,m}$ $(n \geq 1)$ recursively by*

$$a_{n,m} = (m+1) \cdot (a_{n-1,m} - a_{n-1,m+1}) \quad (n \geq 1, m \geq 0). \tag{1}$$

Then

$$a_{n,0} = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m m! \left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\} a_{0,m}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Put

$$g_n(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n,m} t^m.$$

By the recursion (1) we have for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(t) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(m+1)(a_{n-1,m} - a_{n-1,m+1})t^m \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n-1,m}t^{m+1}) - \frac{d}{dt}(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{n-1,m+1}t^{m+1}) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}(tg_{n-1}(t)) - \frac{d}{dt}(g_{n-1}(t) - a_{n-1,0}) \\ &= g_{n-1}(t) + (t-1)\frac{d}{dt}(g_{n-1}(t)) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt}((t-1)g_{n-1}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by putting $(t-1)g_n(t) = h_n(t)$ we obtain

$$h_n(t) = (t-1)\frac{d}{dt}(h_{n-1}(t)) \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and thus

$$h_n(t) = \left((t-1)\frac{d}{dt}\right)^n (h_0(t)).$$

Applying the formula (*cf.* [5, Ch. 6.7 Exer. 13])

$$\left(x\frac{d}{dx}\right)^n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left\{ n \atop m \right\} x^m \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^m$$

for $x = t-1$, we have

$$h_n(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left\{ n \atop m \right\} (t-1)^m \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^m h_0(t).$$

Putting $t = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
-a_{n,0} &= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} (-1)^m m! (a_{0,m-1} - a_{0,m}) \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\{ {n \atop m+1} \right\} (-1)^{m+1} (m+1)! a_{0,m} - \sum_{m=0}^{n} \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} (-1)^m m! a_{0,m} \\
&= -\sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m m! a_{0,m} \left((m+1) \left\{ {n \atop m+1} \right\} + \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} \right) \\
&= -\sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m m! \left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\} a_{0,m}.
\end{aligned}$$

(We have used the recursion $\left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\} = (m+1) \left\{ {n \atop m+1} \right\} + \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\}$.) This proves the proposition.

Proof of Theorem 1. We show the generating series of the right hand side coincide with that of B_n. To do this, we use the identity

$$\frac{e^x (e^x - 1)^m}{m!} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\} \frac{x^n}{n!} \tag{3}$$

which results from the well-known generating series for the Stirling numbers (*cf.* [5, (7.49)])

$$\frac{(e^x - 1)^m}{m!} = \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left\{ {n \atop m} \right\} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

by replacing m with $m + 1$ and differentiating with respect to x. With this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^m m! \left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\}}{m+1} \right) \frac{x^n}{n!} \\
= &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{m+1} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m m!}{m+1} \frac{e^x (e^x - 1)^m}{m!} \\
= &\; e^x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^x)^m}{m+1} = \frac{e^x}{1 - e^x} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^x)^m}{m} \\
= &\; \frac{e^x}{1 - e^x} \left(-\log \left(1 - (1 - e^x) \right) \right) = \frac{x e^x}{e^x - 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1.

Remark. A referee suggested the following interpretation of the algorithm using generating function:

Suppose the first row is $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots$, with ordinary generating function

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

Let the leading diagonal be $b_0 = a_0, b_1, b_2, \dots$, with exponential generating function

$$\mathbb{B}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathbb{B}(x) = e^x A(1 - e^x).$$

This follows from (2) and (3), the calculation being parallel to that of the proof of Theorem 1. To get the Bernoulli numbers we take $a_0 = 1, a_1 = \frac{1}{2}, a_2 = \frac{1}{3}, \dots$ with $A(x) = -\log(1-x)/x$, and find $\mathbb{B}(x) = xe^x/(e^x - 1)$.

3 Poly-Bernoulli numbers

If we replace the initial sequence $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots$ by $1, \frac{1}{2^k}, \frac{1}{3^k}, \frac{1}{4^k}, \dots$ and apply the same algorithm, the resulting sequence is $(-1)^n D_n^{(k)}$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$, where $D_n^{(k)}$ is a variant of "poly-Bernoulli numbers" ([6], [2], [3]): For any integer k, we define a sequence of numbers $D_n^{(k)}$ by

$$\frac{Li_k(1 - e^{-x})}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n^{(k)} \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

where $Li_k(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m^k}$ (k-th polylogarithm when $k \geq 1$). The above assertion is then a consequence of the following (or, is just a special case of the preceding remark)

Proposition 3 *For any $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$D_n^{(k)} = (-1)^n \sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^m m! \left\{ {n+1 \atop m+1} \right\}}{(m+1)^k}.$$

Proof. The proof can be given completely in the same way as the proof of Theorem 1 using generating series, and hence will be omitted.

Acknowledgements

I should like to thank the referee for several comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Akiyama, S. and Tanigawa, Y. : Multiple zeta values at non-positive integers, *preprint* (1999).

[2] Arakawa, T. and Kaneko, M. : Multiple zeta values, poly-Bernoulli numbers, and related zeta functions, *Nagoya Math. J.* **153** (1999), 189–209.

[3] Arakawa, T. and Kaneko, M. : On poly-Bernoulli numbers, *Comment. Math. Univ. Sanct. Pauli* **48-2** (1999), 159–167.

[4] Gould, H. G. : Explicit formulas for Bernoulli numbers, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **79** (1972), 44–51.

[5] Graham, R., Knuth, D. and Patashnik, O.: Concrete Mathematics, Addison-Wesley, (1989).

[6] Kaneko, M. : Poly-Bernoulli numbers, *Jour. Th. Nombre Bordeaux* **9** (1997), 199–206.

[7] Knuth, D. : Two notes on notation, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99** (1992), 403–422.

(The Bernoulli numbers are A027641 and A027642. The table in Figure 1 yields sequences A051714 and A051715. Other sequences which mention this paper are A000367, A002445, A026741, A045896, A051712, A051713, A051716, A051717, A051718, A051719, A051720, A051721, A051722, and A051723.

Received August 7, 2000; published in Journal of Integer Sequences December 12, 2000.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.