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#### Abstract

The nearest integer continued fractions of Hurwitz, Minnegerode (NICF-H) and in Perron's book Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen (NICF-P) are closely related. Midpoint criteria for solving Pell's equation $x^{2}-D y^{2}= \pm 1$ in terms of the NICF-H expansion of $\sqrt{D}$ were derived by H. C. Williams using singular continued fractions. We derive these criteria without the use of singular continued fractions. We use an algorithm for converting the regular continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{D}$ to its NICF-P expansion.


## 1 Introduction

In Perron's book [7, p. 143], a nearest integer continued fraction (Kettenbruch nach nächsten Ganzen) expansion (NICF-P) of an irrational number $\xi_{0}$ is defined recursively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}=q_{n}+\frac{\epsilon_{n+1}}{\xi_{n+1}},-\frac{1}{2}<\xi_{n}-q_{n}<\frac{1}{2}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon_{n+1}= \pm 1, q_{n}$ is an integer (the nearest integer to $\xi_{n}$ ) and $\operatorname{sign}\left(\epsilon_{n+1}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(\xi_{n}-q_{n}\right)$. Then we have the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}=q_{0}+\frac{\epsilon_{1} \mid}{\mid q_{1}}+\cdots+\frac{\epsilon_{n} \mid}{\mid q_{n}}+\cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n} \geq 2, q_{n}+\epsilon_{n+1} \geq 2 \text { for } n \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Satz 10, [7, p. 169]).
A. Hurwitz [1] and B. Minnegerode [6] defined a related nearest integer continued fraction (NICF-H) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}^{\prime}=q_{n}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\xi_{n+1}^{\prime}},-\frac{1}{2}<\xi_{n}^{\prime}-q_{n}^{\prime}<\frac{1}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{n}^{\prime}$ is an integer. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{0}^{\prime}=q_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\mid q_{1}^{\prime}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\mid q_{n}^{\prime}}-\cdots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have $\left|q_{n}^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ for $n \geq 1$. Also if one of $q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots$, say $q_{n}^{\prime}$, equals 2 (resp. -2 ), then $q_{n+1}^{\prime}<0$ (resp. $q_{n+1}^{\prime}>0$ ) (Hurwitz [1, p. 372]). Section 2 relates the two types of continued fraction.

In 1980, H. C. Williams gave six midpoint criteria for solving Pell's equation $x^{2}-D y^{2}=$ $\pm 1$ in terms of the NICF-H expansion of $\sqrt{D}$ (see Theorems 6 and 7, [9, pp. 12-13]). His proof made extensive use of the singular continued fraction expansion of $\sqrt{D}$. Theorem 6 of section 3 of our paper gives the corresponding criteria for the NICF-P expansion of $\sqrt{D}$. In an attempt to give a derivation of the latter criteria without the use of singular continued fractions, the author studied the conversion of the regular continued fraction (RCF) expansion of $\sqrt{D}$ to the NICF given by Lemma 9 of section 5, where the RCF is defined recursively by

$$
\xi_{n}=a_{n}+\frac{1}{\xi_{n+1}}
$$

with $a_{n}=\left\lfloor\xi_{n}\right\rfloor$, the integer part of $\xi_{n}$. Theorem 8 of section 4 shows that the central part of a least period determines which of the criteria hold. Finally, Theorem 18, section 7, describes the case where there are only odd-length unisequences, i.e., consecutive sequences of partial quotients equal to 1 , in the RCF expansion of $\sqrt{D}$; in this case the NICF-P expansion of $\sqrt{D}$ exhibits the usual symmetry properties of the RCF expansion.

## 2 Connections between the NICF-H and NICF-P expansions of an irrational number.

Lemma 1. Let $q_{n}^{\prime}, \xi_{n}^{\prime}, A_{n}^{\prime} / B_{n}^{\prime}$ denote the $n$-th partial denominator, complete quotient and convergent of the NICF-H expansion of an irrational number $\xi_{0}$ and $q_{n}, \epsilon_{n}, \xi_{n}, A_{n} / B_{n}$ denote
the $n$-th partial denominator, partial numerator, complete quotient and convergent of the NICF-P expansion of $\xi_{0}$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{-1}=1=A_{-1}^{\prime}, & B_{-1}=0=B_{-1}^{\prime} \\
A_{-2}=0=A_{-2}^{\prime}, & B_{-2}=1=-B_{-2}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

and for $n \geq-1$,

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
A_{n+1}=q_{n+1} A_{n}+\epsilon_{n+1} A_{n-1}, & B_{n+1}=q_{n+1} B_{n}+\epsilon_{n+1} B_{n-1} \\
A_{n+1}^{\prime}=q_{n+1}^{\prime} A_{n}^{\prime}-A_{n-1}^{\prime}, & B_{n+1}^{\prime}=q_{n+1}^{\prime} B_{n}^{\prime}-B_{n-1}^{\prime}
\end{array}
$$

where $\epsilon_{0}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}^{\prime}=t_{n} q_{n}, \quad \xi_{n}^{\prime}=t_{n} \xi_{n}, \quad n \geq 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{0}=1$ and $t_{n}=(-1)^{n} \epsilon_{1} \cdots \epsilon_{n}$, if $n \geq 1$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}^{\prime}=s_{n} A_{n}, \quad B_{n}^{\prime}=s_{n} B_{n}, \quad n \geq-2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{-2}=-1, s_{-1}=1$ and $s_{n+1}=-s_{n-1} \epsilon_{n+1}$ for $n \geq-1$.
Remark 2. It follows that $s_{0}=1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{2 i} & =(-1)^{i} \epsilon_{2 i} \epsilon_{2 i-2} \cdots \epsilon_{2}, \quad \text { if } i \geq 1  \tag{8}\\
s_{2 i+1} & =(-1)^{i+1} \epsilon_{2 i+1} \epsilon_{2 i-1} \cdots \epsilon_{1}, \quad \text { if } i \geq 0,  \tag{9}\\
s_{n+1} s_{n} & =t_{n+1}, \quad \text { if } n \geq-1 . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We prove (6) by induction on $n \geq 0$. These are true when $n=0$. So we assume that $n \geq 0$ and (6) hold. Then

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\xi_{n+1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{q_{n}^{\prime}-\xi_{n}^{\prime}}, & \xi_{n+1}=\frac{\epsilon_{n+1}}{q_{n}-\xi_{n}}, \\
q_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\xi_{n}^{\prime}\right], & q_{n}=\left[\xi_{n}\right] \tag{12}
\end{array}
$$

where $[x]$ denotes the nearest integer to $x$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{n+1}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{t_{n} q_{n}-t_{n} \xi_{n}} \\
& =\frac{t_{n}}{q_{n}-\xi_{n}}=t_{n}\left(-\epsilon_{n+1} \xi_{n+1}\right) \\
& =t_{n+1} \xi_{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next,

$$
q_{n+1}^{\prime}=\left[\xi_{n+1}^{\prime}\right]=\left[t_{n+1} \xi_{n+1}\right]=t_{n+1}\left[\xi_{n+1}\right]=t_{n+1} q_{n+1}
$$

Finally, we prove (7) by induction on $n \geq-2$. These hold for $n=-2$ and -1 . So we assume $n \geq-1$ and

$$
A_{n-1}^{\prime}=s_{n-1} A_{n-1}, \quad B_{n-1}^{\prime}=s_{n-1} B_{n-1}, \quad A_{n}^{\prime}=s_{n} A_{n}, \quad B_{n}^{\prime}=s_{n} B_{n}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n+1}^{\prime} & =q_{n+1}^{\prime} A_{n}^{\prime}-A_{n-1}^{\prime} \\
& =\left(t_{n+1} q_{n+1}\right)\left(s_{n} A_{n}\right)-s_{n-1} A_{n-1} \\
& =q_{n+1} s_{n+1} A_{n}-\left(-s_{n+1} \epsilon_{n+1}\right) A_{n-1} \\
& =s_{n+1}\left(q_{n+1} A_{n}+\epsilon_{n+1} A_{n-1}\right) \\
& =s_{n+1} A_{n+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $B_{n+1}^{\prime}=s_{n+1} B_{n+1}$.
Corollary 3. Suppose $\xi_{n}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}$ is a least period of NICF-P complete quotients for a quadratic irrational $\xi_{0}$.
(a) If $\epsilon_{n+1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k}=(-1)^{k}$, then

$$
\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime}
$$

is a least period of NICF-H complete quotients for $\xi_{0}$.
(b) If $\epsilon_{n+1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k}=(-1)^{k+1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime},-\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots,-\xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a least period of NICF-H complete quotients for $\xi_{0}$. Moreover

$$
\xi_{0}=q_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\mid q_{1}^{\prime}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\mid q_{n}^{\prime}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\mid q_{n+k-1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-q_{n}^{\prime}}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-q_{n+k-1}^{\prime}},}
$$

where the asterisks correspond to the least period (13).
Proof. Suppose $\xi_{n}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}$ is a least period of NICF-P complete quotients for $\xi_{0}$. Then $\xi_{n}=\xi_{n+k}$. Hence from (6),

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{n} \xi_{n}^{\prime} & =t_{n+k} \xi_{n+k}^{\prime} \\
(-1)^{n} \epsilon_{1} \cdots \epsilon_{n} \xi_{n}^{\prime} & =(-1)^{n+k} \epsilon_{1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k} \xi_{n+k}^{\prime} \\
\xi_{n}^{\prime} & =(-1)^{k} \epsilon_{n+1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k} \xi_{n+k}^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

(a) Suppose $\epsilon_{n+1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k}=(-1)^{k}$. Then (14) gives

$$
\xi_{n}^{\prime}=\xi_{n+k}^{\prime}
$$

Then because $\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime}$ are distinct, they form a least period of complete quotients for the NICF-H expansion of $\xi_{0}$.
(b) Suppose $\epsilon_{n+1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k}=(-1)^{k+1}$. Then (14) gives

$$
\xi_{n}^{\prime}=-\xi_{n+k}^{\prime} .
$$

Similarly

$$
\xi_{n+1}^{\prime}=-\xi_{n+k+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime}=-\xi_{n+2 k-1}^{\prime}
$$

Also $\xi_{n}^{\prime}=-\xi_{n+k}^{\prime}=-\left(-\xi_{n+2 k}^{\prime}\right)=\xi_{n+2 k}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime}, \xi_{n+k}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n+2 k-1}^{\prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a period of complete quotients for the NICF-H expansion of $\xi_{0}$. However sequence (15) is identical with

$$
\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime},-\xi_{n}^{\prime}, \ldots,-\xi_{n+k-1}^{\prime}
$$

whose members are distinct. Hence (15) form a least period of complete quotients for the NICF-H expansion of $\xi_{0}$.

Corollary 4. Let $k$ and $p$ be the period-lengths of the NICF-P and RCF expansions of a quadratic irrational $\xi_{0}$ not equivalent to $(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$. Then
(a) if $p$ is even, the period-length of the NICF-H expansion of $\xi_{0}$ is equal to $k$;
(b) if $p$ is odd, the period-length of the NICF-H expansion of $\xi_{0}$ is equal to $2 k$ and the NICF-H expansion has the form

$$
\xi_{0}=q_{0}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{\mid q_{1}^{\prime}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\mid q_{n}^{\prime}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\mid q_{n+k-1}^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{\mid-q_{n}^{\prime}}-\cdots-\frac{1}{\sqrt{-q_{n+k-1}^{\prime}}} .
$$

Proof. Let $\xi_{n}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k-1}$ be a least period of NICF-P complete quotients. Suppose that $r$ of the partial numerators $\epsilon_{n+1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{n+k}$ of the NICF-P expansion of $\xi_{0}$ are equal to -1 . Now by Theorem 4 of Matthews and Robertson [5], $p=k+r$ and hence

$$
\epsilon_{n+1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k}=(-1)^{r}=(-1)^{k+p}
$$

Then according as $p$ is even or odd, $\epsilon_{n+1} \cdots \epsilon_{n+k}=(-1)^{k}$ or $(-1)^{k+1}$ and Corollary 3 applies.

Remark 5. This result was obtained by Hurwitz and Minnegerode for the special case $\xi_{0}=\sqrt{D}$.

We give some examples.
(1) $\xi_{0}=(12+\sqrt{1792}) / 16$, (Tables 1 and 2). Here $k=4, r=2, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3}, \xi_{4}$ form a period of NICF-P complete quotients, $\epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3} \epsilon_{4} \epsilon_{5}=(-1)(1)(-1)(1)=1=(-1)^{k}$ and the NICF-P and NICF-H expansions have the same period-length. Also $p=6$.
(2) $\xi_{0}=(5+\sqrt{13}) / 4$, (Table 3 and 4). Here $k=3, r=2$ and $\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ form a period of NICF-P complete quotients, $\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3}=(1)(-1)(-1)=1=(-1)^{k+1}$ and the NICF-H period-length is twice the NICF-P period-length. Also $p=5$.

Table 1: NICF-P expansion for $(12+\sqrt{1792}) / 16$

| $i$ | $\xi_{i}$ | $\epsilon_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ | $A_{i} / B_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{12+\sqrt{1792}}{16}$ | 1 | 3 | $3 / 1$ |
| 1 | $\frac{36+\sqrt{1792}}{31}$ | 1 | 3 | $10 / 3$ |
| 2 | $\frac{57+\sqrt{1792}}{47}$ | -1 | 2 | $17 / 5$ |
| 3 | $\frac{37+\sqrt{1792}}{9}$ | 1 | 9 | $163 / 48$ |
| 4 | $\frac{44+\sqrt{1792}}{16}$ | -1 | 5 | $798 / 235$ |
| 5 | $\frac{36+\sqrt{1792}}{31}$ | 1 | 3 | $2557 / 753$ |

Table 2: NICF-H expansion for $(12+\sqrt{1792}) / 16$

| $i$ | $\xi_{i}$ | $\epsilon_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ | $A_{i} / B_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{12+\sqrt{1792}}{16}$ | -1 | 3 | $3 / 1$ |
| 1 | $\frac{36+\sqrt{1792}}{-31}$ | -1 | -3 | $-10 /-3$ |
| 2 | $\frac{57+\sqrt{1792}}{-47}$ | -1 | -2 | $17 / 5$ |
| 3 | $\frac{37+\sqrt{1792}}{9}$ | -1 | 9 | $163 / 48$ |
| 4 | $\frac{4+\sqrt{1792}}{16}$ | -1 | 5 | $798 / 235$ |
| 5 | $\frac{36+\sqrt{1792}}{-31}$ | -1 | -3 | $-2557 /-753$ |

## 3 NICF-P midpoint criteria for Pell's equation

Theorem 6. Let $k$ and $p$ be the respective period-lengths of NICF-P and RCF expansions of $\sqrt{D}$. Then precisely one of the following must hold for the NICF-P expansion of $\sqrt{D}$ :

1) $P_{\rho}=P_{\rho+1}, k=2 \rho, p=2 h$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k-1}=B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho}+\epsilon_{\rho} A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2} \\
& B_{k-1}=B_{\rho-1}\left(B_{\rho}+\epsilon_{\rho} B_{\rho-2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $P_{\rho+1}=P_{\rho}+Q_{\rho}, k=2 \rho, p=2 h$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k-1}=B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho}+A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2}-A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-1} \\
& B_{k-1}=B_{\rho-1}\left(B_{\rho}+B_{\rho-2}-B_{\rho-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

3) $Q_{\rho}=Q_{\rho+1}$ and
(a) $\epsilon_{\rho+1}=-1, k=2 \rho+1, p=2 h$, or
(b) $\epsilon_{\rho+1}=1, k=2 \rho+1, p=2 h-1$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k-1}=A_{\rho} B_{\rho}+\epsilon_{\rho+1} A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-1} \\
& B_{k-1}=B_{\rho}^{2}+\epsilon_{\rho+1} B_{\rho-1}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3: NICF-P expansion for $(5+\sqrt{13}) / 4$

| $i$ | $\xi_{i}$ | $\epsilon_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ | $A_{i} / B_{i}$ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{5+\sqrt{13}}{4}$ | 1 | 2 | $2 / 1$ |
| 1 | $\frac{3+\sqrt{13}}{1}$ | 1 | 7 | $15 / 7$ |
| 2 | $\frac{4+\sqrt{13}}{3}$ | -1 | 3 | $43 / 20$ |
| 3 | $\frac{5+\sqrt{13}}{4}$ | -1 | 2 | $71 / 33$ |

Table 4: NICF-H expansion for $(5+\sqrt{13}) / 4$

| $i$ | $\xi_{i}$ | $\epsilon_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ | $A_{i} / B_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{5+\sqrt{13}}{4}$ | 1 | 2 | $2 / 1$ |
| 1 | $\frac{3+\sqrt{13}}{-1}$ | -1 | -7 | $-15 /-7$ |
| 2 | $\frac{4+\sqrt{13}}{-3}$ | -1 | -3 | $43 / 20$ |
| 3 | $\frac{5+\sqrt{13}}{-4}$ | -1 | -2 | $-71 /-33$ |
| 4 | $\frac{3+\sqrt{13}}{1}$ | -1 | 7 | $-540 /-251$ |
| 5 | $\frac{4+\sqrt{13}}{3}$ | -1 | 3 | $-1549 /-720$ |
| 6 | $\frac{5+\sqrt{13}}{4}$ | -1 | 2 | $-2558 /-1189$ |

4) $P_{\rho+1}=Q_{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{\rho+1}, \epsilon_{\rho+1}=-1, k=2 \rho+1, p=2 h-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k-1}=A_{\rho} B_{\rho}+2 A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-1}-\left(A_{\rho} B_{\rho-1}+B_{\rho} A_{\rho-1}\right) \\
& B_{k-1}=B_{\rho}^{2}+2 B_{\rho-1}^{2}-2 B_{\rho} B_{\rho-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

5) $P_{\rho}=Q_{\rho}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{\rho-1}, \epsilon_{\rho}=-1, k=2 \rho, p=2 h-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k-1}=2 A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-1}+A_{\rho-2} B_{\rho-2}-\left(A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2}+B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho-2}\right) \\
& B_{k-1}=2 B_{\rho-1}^{2}+B_{\rho-2}^{2}-2 B_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We only exhibit the calculations for criterion 1) of Theorem 6. This corresponds to criterion 1) of Theorem 6, Williams [9, p. 12], which states that $P_{\rho}^{\prime}=P_{\rho+1}^{\prime}, k=2 \rho, p=2 h$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|A_{k-1}^{\prime}\right|=\left|B_{\rho-1}^{\prime} A_{\rho}^{\prime}-A_{\rho-1}^{\prime} B_{\rho-2}^{\prime}\right|,  \tag{16}\\
& \left|B_{k-1}^{\prime}\right|=\left|B_{\rho-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{\rho}^{\prime}-B_{\rho-2}^{\prime}\right)\right| . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Then from equations (7),

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\rho-1}^{\prime} A_{\rho}^{\prime}-A_{\rho-1}^{\prime} B_{\rho-2}^{\prime} & =s_{\rho-1} s_{\rho} B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho}-s_{\rho-1} s_{\rho-2} A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2} \\
& =t_{\rho} B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho}-t_{\rho-1} A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2} \\
& =-t_{\rho-1} \epsilon_{\rho} B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho}-t_{\rho-1} A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2} \\
& =-t_{\rho-1} \epsilon_{\rho}\left(B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho}+\epsilon_{\rho} A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{\rho-1}^{\prime} A_{\rho}^{\prime}-A_{\rho-1}^{\prime} B_{\rho-2}^{\prime}\right|=B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho}+\epsilon_{\rho} A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $B_{\rho-1} A_{\rho} \geq A_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2}$. Hence (16) and (18) give the first result of criterion 1) above. Next,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{\rho-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{\rho}^{\prime}-B_{\rho-2}^{\prime}\right) & =s_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-1}\left(s_{\rho} B_{\rho}-s_{\rho-2} B_{\rho-2}\right) \\
& =B_{\rho-1}\left(t_{\rho} B_{\rho}-t_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2}\right) \\
& =B_{\rho-1}\left(-t_{\rho-1} \epsilon_{\rho} B_{\rho}-t_{\rho-1} B_{\rho-2}\right) \\
& =-t_{\rho-1} \epsilon_{\rho} B_{\rho-1}\left(B_{\rho}+\epsilon_{\rho} B_{\rho-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{\rho-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{\rho}^{\prime}-B_{\rho-2}^{\prime}\right)\right|=B_{\rho-1}\left(B_{\rho}+\epsilon_{\rho} B_{\rho-2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (17) and (19) give the second result of criterion 1) above.
Remark 7. John Robertson in an email to the author, dated November 26, 2007, noted the following errors in Williams [9, pp. 12-13]:
(i) Criterion 3), Theorem 6, page 12, should be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{\pi-1}^{\prime}\right|=A_{\rho}^{\prime} B_{\rho}^{\prime}-A_{\rho-1}^{\prime} B_{\rho-1}^{\prime} \\
& \left|B_{\pi-1}^{\prime}\right|={B_{\rho}^{\prime}}^{2}-{B_{\rho-1}^{\prime 2}}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Criterion 6), Theorem 7, page 13, should be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|A_{\pi-1}^{\prime}\right|=2 A_{\rho-1}^{\prime} B_{\rho-1}^{\prime}+A_{\rho-2}^{\prime} B_{\rho-2}^{\prime}-\left|A_{\rho-1}^{\prime} B_{\rho-2}^{\prime}+B_{\rho-1}^{\prime} A_{\rho-2}^{\prime}\right|, \\
& \left|B_{\pi-1}^{\prime}\right|=2 B_{\rho-1}^{\prime 2}+B_{\rho-2}^{\prime 2}-2\left|B_{\rho-2}^{\prime} B_{\rho-1}^{\prime}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Midpoint criteria in terms of unisequences

The RCF of $\sqrt{D}$, with period-length $p$, has the form

$$
\sqrt{D}= \begin{cases}{\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{h-1}, a_{h-1} \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]} & \text { if } p=2 h-1 ;  \tag{20}\\ {\left[a_{0}, \overline{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{h-1}, a_{h}, a_{h-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, 2 a_{0}}\right]} & \text { if } p=2 h .\end{cases}
$$

We have Euler's midpoint formulae for solving Pell's equation $x^{2}-D y^{2}= \pm 1$ using the regular continued fraction (see Dickson [3, p. 358]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{h-1} & =Q_{h} \\
A_{2 h-2} & =A_{h-1} B_{h-1}+A_{h-2} B_{h-2} \\
B_{2 h-2} & =B_{h-1}^{2}+B_{h-2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p=2 h-1$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{h} & =P_{h+1}, \\
A_{2 h-1} & =A_{h} B_{h-1}+A_{h-1} B_{h-2}, \\
B_{2 h-1} & =B_{h-1}\left(B_{h}+B_{h-2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

if $p=2 h$. We also need the following symmetry properties from Perron [7, p. 81]:

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{t} & =a_{p-t}, & t=1,2, \ldots, p-1,  \tag{21}\\
P_{t+1} & =P_{p-t}, & t=0,1, \ldots, p-1,  \tag{22}\\
Q_{t} & =Q_{p-t}, & t=0,1, \ldots, p . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 8. Using the notation of (20), in relation to Theorem 6, we have
(1) If $p=2 h-1, h>1$ and $a_{h-1}>1$, or $p=1$, we get criterion 3).
(2) If $p=2 h, h>1$ and $a_{h-1}>1, a_{h}>1$, or $p=2$ and $a_{1}>1$, we get criterion 1).
(3) Suppose $p=2 h$ and $a_{h-1}=1, a_{h}>1$, so that $a_{h}$ is enclosed by two $M$-unisequences. Then
(a) if $M \geq 2$ is even, we get criterion 2).
(b) if $M$ is odd, we get criterion 1).
(4) Suppose the centre of a period contains an $M$-unisequence, $M \geq 1$.
(a) If $M$ is odd, then $p=2 h$ and we get criterion 1) if $M=4 t+3$, criterion 3) if $M=4 t+1$.
(b) If $M$ is even, then $p=2 h-1$ and we get criterion 4) if $M=4 t$, criterion 5) if $M=4 t+2$.

Before we can prove Theorem 8, we need some results on the RCF to NICF-P conversion.

## 5 The RCF to NICF-P conversion and its properties

Lemma 9. Let $\xi_{0}=\frac{P_{0}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{0}}$ have NICF-P and RCF expansions:

$$
\xi_{0}=a_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\epsilon_{1} \mid}{\mid a_{1}^{\prime}}+\cdots=a_{0}+\frac{1 \mid}{\mid a_{1}}+\cdots
$$

with complete quotients $\xi_{m}^{\prime}, \xi_{m}$, respectively. Define $f(m)$ recursively for $m \geq 0$ by $f(0)=0$ and

$$
f(m+1)= \begin{cases}f(m)+1, & \text { if } \epsilon_{m+1}=1  \tag{24}\\ f(m)+2, & \text { if } \epsilon_{m+1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

Then for $m \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon_{m+1}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } a_{f(m)+1}>1 \\
-1, & \text { if } a_{f(m)+1}=1\end{cases}  \tag{25}\\
& \xi_{m}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\xi_{f(m)}, & \text { if } \epsilon_{m}=1 \\
\xi_{f(m)}+1, & \text { if } \epsilon_{m}=-1\end{cases} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
a_{m}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}a_{f(m)}, & \text { if } \epsilon_{m}=1 \text { and } \epsilon_{m+1}=1  \tag{27}\\ a_{f(m)}+1, & \text { if } \epsilon_{m} \epsilon_{m+1}=-1 \\ a_{f(m)}+2, & \text { if } \epsilon_{m}=-1 \text { and } \epsilon_{m+1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. See Theorem 2, Matthews and Robertson [5].
Remark 10. By virtue of (24) and (26), we say that the $\xi_{m}^{\prime}$ are obtained from the $\xi_{n}$ in jumps of 1 or 2 .

Lemma 11. Let $\xi_{0}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots\right)$ be an RCF expansion. Then if $[x]$ denotes the nearest integer to $x$, we have

$$
\left[\xi_{n}\right]= \begin{cases}a_{n}, & \text { if } a_{n+1}>1 \\ a_{n}+1, & \text { if } a_{n+1}=1\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $\left[\xi_{n}\right]=a_{n}+1$, then $\xi_{n}>a_{n}+\frac{1}{2}$ and hence $a_{n+1}=1$, whereas if $\left[\xi_{n}\right]=a_{n}$, then $\xi_{n}<a_{n}+\frac{1}{2}$ and hence $a_{n+1}>1$.

Lemma 12. Let $\xi_{0}=\frac{P_{0}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{0}}$ have NICF-P expansion

$$
\xi_{0}=a_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{\epsilon_{1} \mid}{\mid a_{1}^{\prime}}+\cdots
$$

Then

$$
A_{m}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}A_{f(m)} & \text { if } \epsilon_{m+1}=1  \tag{28}\\ A_{f(m)+1} & \text { if } \epsilon_{m+1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

where $f(m)$ is defined by (24). Equivalently, in the notation of Bosma [2, p. 372], if $n(k)=f(k+1)-1$ for $k \geq-1$, then

$$
n(k)= \begin{cases}n(k-1)+1, & \text { if } \epsilon_{k+1}=1  \tag{29}\\ n(k-1)+2, & \text { if } \epsilon_{k+1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

and (28) has the simpler form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}^{\prime}=A_{n(k)} \text { for } k \geq 0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 13. From (25) and (29), we see that $\epsilon_{m+1}=-1$ implies $a_{n(m)}=1$.
Proof. (by induction). We first prove (30) for $k=0$. We use Lemma 11.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{1}=1 \Longrightarrow a_{1}>1 & \Longrightarrow\left[\xi_{0}\right]=a_{0} \\
& \Longrightarrow A_{0}^{\prime}=A_{0} . \\
\epsilon_{1}=-1 \Longrightarrow a_{1}=1 & \Longrightarrow\left[\xi_{0}\right]=a_{0}+1=a_{0} a_{1}+1 \\
& \Longrightarrow A_{0}^{\prime}=A_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We next prove (30) for $k=1$. We have to prove

$$
A_{1}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}A_{f(1)} & \text { if } \epsilon_{2}=1 \\ A_{f(1)+1} & \text { if } \epsilon_{2}=-1\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
f(1)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=1 \\ 2 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

i.e.,

$$
A_{1}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}A_{1} & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=1, \epsilon_{2}=1 \\ A_{2} & \text { if } \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}=-1 \\ A_{3} & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=-1, \epsilon_{2}=-1\end{cases}
$$

Now $A_{1}^{\prime}=a_{0}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{1}$. We have

$$
a_{0}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}a_{0} & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=1 \\ a_{0}+1 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=-1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
a_{1}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}a_{f(1)} & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=1=\epsilon_{2} \\ a_{f(1)}+1 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}=-1 \\ a_{f(1)}+2 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=-1=\epsilon_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Hence

$$
a_{1}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}a_{1} & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=1=\epsilon_{2} \\ a_{1}+1 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=1, \epsilon_{2}=-1 ; \\ a_{2}+1 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=-1, \epsilon_{2}=1 ; \\ a_{2}+2 & \text { if } \epsilon_{1}=-1, \epsilon_{2}=-1 .\end{cases}
$$

Case 1. $\epsilon_{1}=1=\epsilon_{2}$. Then $A_{1}^{\prime}=a_{0} a_{1}+1=A_{1}$.
Case 2. $\epsilon_{1}=1, \epsilon_{2}=-1$. Then $a_{2}=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{\prime}=a_{0}\left(a_{1}+1\right)+1 \\
& A_{2}=\left(a_{0} a_{1}+1\right) a_{2}+a_{0}=a_{0} a_{1}+1+a_{0}=A_{1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3. $\epsilon_{1}=-1, \epsilon_{2}=1$. Then $a_{1}=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}^{\prime} & =\left(a_{0}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+1\right)-1 \\
& =a_{0} a_{2}+a_{0}+a_{2}, \\
A_{2} & =\left(a_{0} a_{1}+1\right) a_{2}+a_{0} \\
& =\left(a_{0}+1\right) a_{2}+a_{0}=A_{1}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 4. $\epsilon_{1}=-1=\epsilon_{2}$. Then $a_{1}=1=a_{3}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1}^{\prime} & =\left(a_{0}+1\right)\left(a_{2}+2\right)-1 \\
& =a_{0} a_{2}+a_{2}+2 a_{0}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{3} & =a_{3} A_{2}+A_{1} \\
& =A_{2}+A_{1} \\
& =\left(\left(a_{0} a_{1}+1\right) a_{2}+a_{0}\right)+\left(a_{0} a_{1}+1\right) \\
& =\left(\left(a_{0}+1\right) a_{2}+a_{0}\right)+\left(a_{0}+1\right)=A_{1}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, let $k \geq 0$ and assume (30) holds for $k$ and $k+1$ and use the equation

$$
A_{k+2}^{\prime}=a_{k+2}^{\prime} A_{k+1}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{k+2} A_{k}^{\prime}
$$

Then from (27), with $j=n(k+1)+1$, we have

$$
a_{k+2}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}a_{j} & \text { if } \epsilon_{k+2}=1, \epsilon_{k+3}=1  \tag{31}\\ a_{j}+1 & \text { if } \epsilon_{k+2} \epsilon_{k+3}=-1 \\ a_{j}=2 & \text { if } \epsilon_{k+2}=-1=\epsilon_{k+3}\end{cases}
$$

Case 1. Suppose $\epsilon_{k+2}=1=\epsilon_{k+3}=1$. Then

$$
n(k+1)=n(k)+1=j-1, \quad n(k+2)=n(k+1)+1=j
$$

and

$$
A_{k+2}^{\prime}=a_{j} A_{j-1}+A_{j-2}=A_{j}=A_{n(k+2)}
$$

Case 2. Suppose $\epsilon_{k+2}=1, \epsilon_{k+3}=-1$. Then

$$
n(k+1)=n(k)+1=j-1, \quad n(k+2)=n(k+1)+2=j+1
$$

and

$$
A_{k+2}^{\prime}=\left(a_{j}+1\right) A_{j-1}+A_{j-2}
$$

Now $\epsilon_{k+3}=-1$ implies $1=a_{n(k+2)}=a_{j+1}$, so $A_{j+1}=A_{j}+A_{j-1}$. Hence

$$
A_{k+2}^{\prime}=A_{j+1}=A_{n(k+2)}
$$

Case 3. Suppose $\epsilon_{k+2}=-1, \epsilon_{k+3}=1$. Then

$$
n(k+1)=n(k)+2=j-1, \quad n(k+2)=n(k+1)+1=j
$$

and

$$
A_{k+2}^{\prime}=\left(a_{j}+1\right) A_{j-1}-A_{j-3} .
$$

Now $\epsilon_{k+2}=-1$ implies $1=a_{n(k+1)}=a_{j-1}$, so $A_{j-1}=A_{j-2}+A_{j-3}$. Hence

$$
A_{k+2}^{\prime}=a_{j} A_{j-1}+A_{j-2}=A_{j}=A_{n(k+2)} .
$$

Case 4. Suppose $\epsilon_{k+2}=-1=\epsilon_{k+3}$. Then

$$
n(k+1)=n(k)+2=j-1, \quad n(k+2)=n(k+1)+2=j+1
$$

and

$$
A_{k+2}^{\prime}=\left(a_{j}+2\right) A_{j-1}-A_{j-3}
$$

Now $\epsilon_{k+3}=-1 \Longrightarrow 1=a_{n(k+2)}=a_{j+1}$ and $\epsilon_{k+2}=-1 \Longrightarrow 1=a_{n(k+1)}=a_{j-1}$, so

$$
A_{j+1}=A_{j}+A_{j-1} \text { and } A_{j-1}=A_{j-2}+A_{j-3}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k+2}^{\prime} & =\left(a_{j}+2\right) A_{j-1}-\left(A_{j-1}-A_{j-2}\right) \\
& =A_{j}+A_{j-1}=A_{j+1}=A_{n(k+2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 14. Each $a_{n}>1, n \geq 1$ will be visited by the algorithm of Lemma 9, i.e., there exists an $m$ such that $n=f(m)$.

Proof. Let $a_{n}>1$ and $f(m) \leq n<f(m+1)$. If $f(m)<n$, then $f(m+1)=f(m)+2$ and $\epsilon_{m+1}=-1$; also $n=f(m)+1$. Then from (25), $a_{n}=a_{f(m)+1}=1$.

Note that in the RCF to NICF-P transformation, we have $f(k)=p$, where $k$ is the NICF-P period-length.

Lemma 15. Suppose that RCF partial quotients $a_{r}$ and $a_{s}$ satisfy $a_{r}>1, a_{s}>1, r<s$. Then the number $J$ of jumps in the RCF to NICF-P transformation when starting from $a_{r}$ and finishing at $a_{s}$ is $J=(s-r+E) / 2$, where $E$ is the number of even unisequences in the interval $\left[a_{r}, a_{s}\right]$. Here we include zero unisequences $\left[a_{i}, a_{i+1}\right]$, where $a_{i}>1$ and $a_{i+1}>1$.

Proof. Suppose the unisequences in $\left[a_{r}, a_{s}\right]$ have lengths $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{N}$ and let $j_{i}$ be the number of jumps occurring in a unisequence of length $m_{i}$. Then

$$
j_{i}=\frac{m_{i}+1+e_{i}}{2}, \text { where } e_{i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } m_{i} \text { is odd } \\ 1 & \text { if } m_{i} \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} j_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{m_{i}+1+e_{i}}{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(N+\sum_{i=1}^{N} m_{i}\right)+\frac{E}{2} \\
& =\frac{s-r}{2}+\frac{E}{2}=\frac{s-r+E}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 16. The number of jumps in the interval $\left[a_{0}, a_{r}\right], a_{r}>1$, equals the number in the interval $\left[a_{p-r}, a_{p}\right]$, where $p$ is the period-length and $r \leq p / 2$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 15 and the symmetry of an RCF period.

## 6 Proof of Theorem 8

We use the notation of Lemmas 9 and 12.
Case (1)(i). Assume $p=2 h-1, h>1$ and there is an even length unisequence, or no unisequence, on each side of $a_{h-1}>1, a_{h}>1$, e.g., $\sqrt{73}=\left[8,{\underset{*}{*}}_{1,1,5,5,1,1,16}^{*}\right]$ or $\sqrt{89}=$ $\left[\widetilde{9,2,3,3,3,2,18]}\right.$. Let $f(m)=h-1$, where $m$ is the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{h-1}\right]$. Then $f(m+1)=h$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{m}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h-1}, \quad \epsilon_{m}=1 \\
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h}, \quad \epsilon_{m+1}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary 16, $m$ is also the number of jumps in $\left[a_{h}, a_{p}\right]$. There is also one jump in [ $\left.a_{h-1}, a_{h}\right]$. Hence $k=2 m+1$. As $Q_{h-1}=Q_{h}$, we have $Q_{m}^{\prime}=Q_{m+1}^{\prime}$, which is criterion 3) of Theorem 6. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{m}=1 & \Longrightarrow f(m)=f(m-1)+1, A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{f(m-1)}, \\
\epsilon_{m+1}=1 & \Longrightarrow f(m+1)=f(m)+1, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{f(m)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{h-2}, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{h-1}, B_{m-1}^{\prime}=B_{h-2}, B_{m}^{\prime}=B_{h-1}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{p-1} & =A_{h-1} B_{h-1}+A_{h-2} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m}^{\prime}+A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime} \\
& =A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{m+1} A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{p-1} & =B_{h-1}^{2}+B_{h-2}^{2} \\
& ={B^{\prime 2}}_{m}^{2}+{B^{\prime 2}}_{m-1}^{2} \\
& ={B^{\prime 2}}_{m}^{2}+\epsilon_{m+1} B_{m-1}^{\prime 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p=1, \sqrt{D}=[a, \overline{2 a}]$ and the NICF and RCF expansions are identical. Also $Q_{0}^{\prime}=Q_{1}^{\prime}=$ $1, \epsilon_{1}=1$ and we have criterion 3).
Case (1)(ii). Assume $p=2 h-1$, with an odd length unisequence on each side of $a_{h-1}>$ $1, a_{h}>1$, e.g., $\sqrt{113}=[10,1,1,1,2,2,1,1,1,20]$. Let $f(m)=h-1$. Then $f(m+1)=h$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{m}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h-1}+1, \quad \epsilon_{m}=-1 \\
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h}, \epsilon_{m+1}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

and as in Case (1)(i), $k=2 m+1$. As $Q_{h-1}=Q_{h}$, we have $Q_{m}^{\prime}=Q_{m+1}^{\prime}$, which is criterion 3). Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{m}=-1 \Longrightarrow f(m)=f(m-1)+2, A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{f(m-1)+1} \\
& \epsilon_{m+1}=1 \Longrightarrow f(m+1)=f(m)+1, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{f(m)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{h-2}, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{h-1}$ and $B_{m-1}^{\prime}=B_{h-2}, B_{m}^{\prime}=B_{h-1}$. Then as in Case (1)(i), we get

$$
A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{m+1} A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{p-1} \text { and }{B^{\prime}}_{m}^{2}+\epsilon_{m+1} B_{m-1}^{\prime 2}=B_{p-1}
$$

Case (2) Assume $p=2 h, h>1, a_{h-1}>1, a_{h}>1$, e.g., $\sqrt{92}=\left[9, \widetilde{\sim}_{*}, \overparen{1}, 2,4,2,1,1,18\right]$.
Let $f(m)=h$. Then $f(m+1)=h+1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{m}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h}, \quad \epsilon_{m}=1 \\
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h+1}, \quad \epsilon_{m+1}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Also by Corollary 16, $m$ is the number of jumps in $\left[a_{h}, a_{p}\right]$. Hence $k=2 m$. Then $P_{h}=P_{h+1}$ gives $P_{m}^{\prime}=P_{m+1}^{\prime}$ and we have criterion 1) of Theorem 6. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{m}=1 & \Longrightarrow f(m)=f(m-1)+1, A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{f(m-1)}, \\
\epsilon_{m+1} & =1
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{h-1}, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{h}$ and $B_{m-1}^{\prime}=B_{h-1}, B_{m}^{\prime}=B_{h}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{p-1} & =A_{h} B_{h-1}+A_{h-1} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}+A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{h-2} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

But $B_{h-2}=B_{h}-a_{h} B_{h-1}=B_{m}^{\prime}-a_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}=B_{m-2}^{\prime}$. Hence (32) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{p-1} & =A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}+A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime} \\
& =A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}+\epsilon_{m} A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{p-1} & =B_{h-1}\left(B_{h}+B_{h-2}\right) \\
& =B_{m-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{m}^{\prime}+B_{m-2}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (3)(a). Assume $p=2 h$, with an even length unisequence each side of $a_{h}>1$, e.g., $\sqrt{21}=[4, \underset{*}{1,1,2,1,1,8}]$. Let $f(m)=h$. Then $f(m+1)=h+2$. As in Case $(2), k=2 m$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{m}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h}, \epsilon_{m}=1, \\
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h+2}+1, \epsilon_{m+1}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{m}=1 & \Longrightarrow f(m)=f(m-1)+1, A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{f(m-1)} \\
\epsilon_{m+1}=-1 & \Longrightarrow f(m+1)=f(m)+2, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{f(m)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{h-1}, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{h+1}$ and $B_{m-1}^{\prime}=B_{h-1}, B_{m}^{\prime}=B_{h+1}$.
We prove criterion 2) of Theorem 6, $P_{m+1}^{\prime}=P_{m}^{\prime}+Q_{m}^{\prime}$, i.e., $P_{h+2}+Q_{h+2}=P_{h}+Q_{h}$.

We note from Theorem 10.19, Rosen [8], that $a_{h+1}=1$ implies $P_{h+2}+P_{h+1}=Q_{h+1}$. Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{h+2}^{2}=D-Q_{h+1} Q_{h+2} \\
& P_{h+1}^{2}=D-Q_{h} Q_{h+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{h+2}^{2}-P_{h+1}^{2} & =Q_{h+1}\left(Q_{h}-Q_{h+2}\right) \\
& =\left(P_{h+2}+P_{h+1}\right)\left(Q_{h}-Q_{h+2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{h+2}-P_{h+1} & =Q_{h}-Q_{h+2} \\
P_{h+2}+Q_{h+2} & =P_{h+1}+Q_{h} \\
& =P_{h}+Q_{h} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

We next prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{p-1}=B_{m-1}^{\prime}\left(A_{m}^{\prime}-A_{m-1}^{\prime}\right)+A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}  \tag{34}\\
& B_{p-1}=B_{m-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{m}^{\prime}-B_{m-1}^{\prime}+B_{m-2}^{\prime}\right) \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

First note that by equations (27), $\epsilon_{m}=1$ and $\epsilon_{m+1}=1$ imply

$$
a_{m}^{\prime}=a_{f(m)}+1=a_{h}+1
$$

Also $a_{h+1}=1$ implies $B_{h+1}=B_{h}+B_{h-1}$, i.e., $B_{m}^{\prime}=B_{h}+B_{m-1}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{h-2} & =B_{h}-a_{h} B_{h-1} \\
& =\left(B_{m}^{\prime}-B_{m-1}^{\prime}\right)-\left(a_{m}^{\prime}-1\right) B_{m-1}^{\prime} \\
& =B_{m}^{\prime}-a_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}=B_{m-2}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{p-1} & =A_{h} B_{h-1}+A_{h-1} B_{h-2} \\
& =\left(A_{m}^{\prime}-A_{m-1}^{\prime}\right) B_{m-1}^{\prime}+A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

proving (34). Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{p-1} & =B_{h-1}\left(B_{h}+B_{h-2}\right) \\
& =B_{m-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{m}^{\prime}-B_{m-1}^{\prime}+B_{m-2}^{\prime}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

proving (35).
If $p=2$ and $a_{1}>1$, then $D=a^{2}+b, 1<b<2 a, b$ dividing $2 a$ (Rosen [8, p. 389]). Then $\sqrt{D}=[\overparen{a, 2 a / b, 2 a}]$ and the NICF and RCF expansions are identical. Then $\xi_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{a+\sqrt{D}}{b}, \xi_{2}^{\prime}=$ $a+\sqrt{D}, P_{1}^{\prime}=P_{2}^{\prime}=a, \epsilon_{1}=1$ and we have criterion 1$)$.

Case (3)(b). Assume $p=2 h$, with an odd length unisequence each side of $a_{h}>1$, e.g., $\sqrt{14}=\left[3,{ }_{*}, 2,1,6\right]$. Let $f(m)=h$. Then $f(m+1)=h+2$ and $k=2 m$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{m}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h}+1, \epsilon_{m}=-1 \\
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h+2}+1, \epsilon_{m+1}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{m}=-1 & \Longrightarrow f(m)=f(m-1)+2, A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{f(m-1)+1} \\
\epsilon_{m+1}=-1 & \Longrightarrow f(m+1)=f(m)+2, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{f(m)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{h-1}, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{h+1}, B_{m-1}^{\prime}=B_{h-1}, B_{m}^{\prime}=B_{h+1}$. Then using (33), we get

$$
P_{m+1}^{\prime}=P_{h+2}+Q_{h+2}=P_{h}+Q_{h}=P_{m}^{\prime},
$$

which is criterion 1) of Theorem 6.
As $\epsilon_{m}=-1$, it remains to prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{p-1}=B_{m-1}^{\prime} A_{m}^{\prime}-A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime} \\
& B_{p-1}=B_{m-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{m}^{\prime}-B_{m-2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

First, $a_{h+1}=1$ implies $A_{h+1}=A_{h}+A_{h-1}$, i.e., $A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{h}+A_{h-1}$. Also $\epsilon_{m}=-1=\epsilon_{m+1}$ implies $a_{m}^{\prime}=a_{f(m)}+2=a_{h}+2$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
-B_{m-2}^{\prime} & =\epsilon_{m} B_{m-2}^{\prime} \\
& =B_{m}^{\prime}-a_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime} \\
& =\left(B_{h}+B_{h-1}\right)-\left(a_{h}+2\right) B_{h-1} \\
& =B_{h}-B_{h-1}-a_{h} B_{h-1} \\
& =B_{h-2}-B_{h-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{m-1}^{\prime} A_{m}^{\prime}-A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime} & =B_{h-1}\left(A_{h}+A_{h-1}\right)-A_{h-1}\left(B_{h-1}-B_{h-2}\right) \\
& =B_{h-1} A_{h}+A_{h-1} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{m-1}^{\prime}\left(B_{m}^{\prime}-B_{m-2}^{\prime}\right) & =B_{h-1}\left(\left(B_{h}+B_{h-1}\right)+\left(B_{h-2}-B_{h-1}\right)\right) \\
& =B_{h-1}\left(B_{h}+B_{h-2}\right) \\
& =B_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (4)(a). Assume $p=2 h$ with an $M$-unisequence, $M$ odd, at the centre of a period. There are two cases:
$M=4 t+3$, e.g., $\sqrt{88}=[9, \overparen{2}, \overparen{*}, \overparen{1}, 1,2, \underset{*}{1} 8]$. Let $f(m)=h$. Then $f(m+1)=h+2$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{m}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h}+1, \quad \epsilon_{m}=-1 \\
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h+2}+1, \quad \epsilon_{m+1}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

and as with case (3)(b), we have criterion 1) of Theorem 6 . Now $m$ is the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{h}\right]$. Then we have a central unisequence $\left[a_{r}, a_{p-r}\right]$ of length $4 t+3$. There are $t+1$ jumps of 2 in $\left[a_{r}, a_{h}\right]$, so $r+2 t+2=h$. Let $J$ be the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{r}\right]$. Hence $m=J+(t+1)$. There are $t+1$ jumps of 2 in $\left[a_{h}, a_{p-r}\right]$ and $J$ jumps in $\left[a_{p-r}, a_{p}\right]$. Hence

$$
k=(J+t+1)+(t+1)+J=2(J+t+1)=2 m .
$$

$M=4 t+1$, e.g., $\sqrt{91}=[9,1,1,5,1,5,1,1,18]$. Let $f(m)=h-1$. Then $f(m+1)=h+1$ and

$$
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime}=\xi_{h+1}+1, \epsilon_{m+1}=-1
$$

Also $\xi_{m}^{\prime}=\xi_{h-1}$ or $\xi_{h-1}+1$. We have a central unisequence $\left[a_{r}, a_{p-r}\right]$ of length $4 t+1$. There are $t$ jumps of 2 in $\left[a_{r}, a_{h-1}\right]$, so $r+2 t=h-1$. Let $J$ be the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{r}\right]$. Hence $m$, being the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{h-1}\right]$ satisfies $m=J+t$. There is also one jump in $\left[a_{h-1}, a_{h+1}\right]$, $t$ jumps of 2 in $\left[a_{h+1}, a_{p-r}\right]$ and $J$ jumps in $\left[a_{p-r}, a_{p}\right]$. Hence

$$
k=(J+t)+1+t+J=2(J+t)+1=2 m+1 .
$$

Then $Q_{m+1}^{\prime}=Q_{h+1}$ and $Q_{m}^{\prime}=Q_{h-1}$, so $Q_{h-1}=Q_{h+1}$ implies $Q_{m}^{\prime}=Q_{m+1}^{\prime}$ and we have criterion 3) of Theorem 6. We have $A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{f(m)+1}=A_{h}$. Also, regardless of the sign of $\epsilon_{m}$, we have $A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{h-2}$. We now prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{k-1}=A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m}^{\prime}-A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}  \tag{36}\\
& B_{k-1}=B_{m}^{\prime 2}-B_{m-1}^{\prime 2} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $a_{h}=1$ gives $A_{h}=A_{h-1}+A_{h-2}$ and $B_{h}=B_{h-1}+B_{h-2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m}^{\prime}-A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime} & =A_{h} B_{h}-A_{h-2} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{h}\left(B_{h-1}+B_{h-2}\right)-\left(A_{h}-A_{h-1}\right) B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{h} B_{h-1}+A_{h-1} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
{B_{m}^{\prime 2}-B_{m-1}^{\prime 2}}^{2} & =B_{h}^{2}-B_{h-2}^{2} \\
& =\left(B_{h}-B_{h-2}\right)\left(B_{h}+B_{h-2}\right) \\
& =B_{h-1}\left(B_{h}+B_{h-2}\right) \\
& =B_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Case (4)(b) Assume $p=2 h-1$ with an $M$-unisequence, $M$ even, at the centre of a period. There are two cases:
$M=4 t$, e.g., $\sqrt{13}=[3, \underset{*}{1}, \overparen{1}, 1,1,6]$. Let $f(m)=h-1$. Then $f(m+1)=h+1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{m}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h-1}+1, \quad \epsilon_{m}=-1 \\
\xi_{m+1}^{\prime} & =\xi_{h+1}+1, \quad \epsilon_{m+1}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

We have a central unisequence $\left[a_{r}, a_{p-r}\right]$ of length $4 t$ with $r+2 t=h-1$. Let $J$ be the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{r}\right]$. There are $t$ jumps of 2 in $\left[a_{r}, a_{h-1}\right]$. Hence $m$, being the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{h-1}\right]$ satisfies $m=J+t$. There are also $t$ jumps of 2 in $\left[a_{h-1}, a_{p-r-1}\right]$, one jump in $\left[a_{p-r-1}, a_{p-r}\right]$ and $J$ jumps in $\left[a_{p-r}, a_{p}\right]$. Hence

$$
k=(J+t)+t+1+J=2(J+t)+1=2 m+1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{m}=-1 & \Longrightarrow f(m)=f(m-1)+2, A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{f(m-1)+1} \\
\epsilon_{m+1} & =-1
\end{aligned}>f(m+1)=f(m)+2, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{f(m)+1} .
$$

We have $A_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{h-2}, A_{m}^{\prime}=A_{h}$. We now verify criterion 4) of Theorem 6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m+1}^{\prime}=Q_{m}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{m+1}^{\prime} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $a_{h}=1$ implies $P_{h+1}=Q_{h}-P_{h}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{m+1}^{\prime} & =P_{h+1}+Q_{h+1} \\
& =Q_{h}-P_{h}+Q_{h+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
Q_{m}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{m+1}^{\prime}=Q_{h-1}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{h+1}
$$

Hence (38) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{h}-P_{h}+Q_{h+1} & =Q_{h-1}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{h+1} \\
\text { i.e., } Q_{h-1}-P_{h}+Q_{h+1} & =Q_{h-1}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{h+1}, \\
\text { i.e., } P_{h} & =\frac{1}{2} Q_{h+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

However

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{h}^{2} & =D-Q_{h-1} Q_{h}, \\
P_{h+1}^{2} & =D-Q_{h} Q_{h+1}, \\
P_{h}^{2}-P_{h+1}^{2} & =Q_{h}\left(Q_{h+1}-Q_{h-1}\right), \\
P_{h}-P_{h+1} & =Q_{h+1}-Q_{h-1}, \\
P_{h}-\left(Q_{h}-P_{h}\right) & =Q_{h+1}-Q_{h}, \\
2 P_{h} & =Q_{h+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{p-1}=A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m}^{\prime}+2 A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}-\left(A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}+B_{m}^{\prime} A_{m-1}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{39}\\
& B_{p-1}=B_{m}^{\prime 2}+2 B_{m-1}^{\prime 2}-2 B_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
T=A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m}^{\prime}+2 A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}-\left(A_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}+B_{m}^{\prime} A_{m-1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =A_{h} B_{h}+2 A_{h-2} B_{h-2}-\left(A_{h} B_{h-2}+B_{h} A_{h-2}\right) \\
& =A_{h}\left(B_{h}-B_{h-2}\right)+A_{h-2}\left(B_{h-2}-B_{h}\right)+A_{h-2} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{h} B_{h-1}-A_{h-2} B_{h-1}+A_{h-2} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{h} B_{h-1}+A_{h-2}\left(B_{h-2}-B_{h-1}\right) \\
& =\left(A_{h-1}+A_{h-2}\right) B_{h-1}+A_{h-2}\left(B_{h-2}-B_{h-1}\right) \\
& =A_{h-1} B_{h-1}+A_{h-2} B_{h-2} \\
& =A_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
{B^{\prime}}_{m}^{2}+2{B^{\prime}}_{m-1}^{2}-2 B_{m}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime} & =B_{h}^{2}+2 B_{h-2}^{2}-2 B_{h} B_{h-2} \\
& =B_{h}\left(B_{h}-2 B_{h-2}\right)+2 B_{h-2}^{2} \\
& =\left(B_{h-1}+B_{h-2}\right)\left(B_{h-1}-B_{h-2}\right)+2 B_{h-2}^{2} \\
& =B_{h-1}^{2}-B_{h-2}^{2}+2 B_{h-2}^{2} \\
& =B_{h-1}^{2}+B_{h-2}^{2} \\
& =B_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

 $f(m)=f(m-1)+2$, so $\stackrel{*}{A}_{m-1}^{\prime}=A_{f(m-1)+1}^{*}=A_{h-1}$. Then we have a central unisequence [ $a_{r}, a_{p-r}$ ] of length $4 t+2$. There are $t+1$ jumps in $\left[a_{r}, a_{h}\right]$, so $r+2 t+2=h$. Let $J$ be the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{r}\right]$. Hence $m$, being the number of jumps in $\left[a_{0}, a_{h}\right]$ satisfies $m=J+t+1$. There are also $t$ jumps of 2 in $\left[a_{h}, a_{p-r-1}\right]$, one jump in $\left[a_{p-r-1}, a_{p-r}\right]$ and $J$ jumps in $\left[a_{p-r}, a_{p}\right]$. Hence

$$
k=(J+t+1)+t+1+J=2(J+t+1)=2 m .
$$

We now verify Case 5) of the midpoint criteria:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}^{\prime}=Q_{m}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{m-1}^{\prime} . \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, as $\xi_{m-1}^{\prime}=\xi_{h-2}$ or $\xi_{h-2}+1$, we have $Q_{m-1}^{\prime}=Q_{h-2}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{m}^{\prime}=Q_{m}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{m-1}^{\prime} & \Longleftrightarrow P_{h}+Q_{h}=Q_{h}+\frac{1}{2} Q_{h-2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow P_{h}=\frac{1}{2} Q_{h-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $a_{h-1}=1$ implies $P_{h}=Q_{h-1}-P_{h-1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{h-1}^{2}-P_{h}^{2} & =\left(D-Q_{h-2} Q_{h-1}\right)-\left(D-Q_{h-1} Q_{h}\right), \\
\left(P_{h-1}-P_{h}\right) Q_{h-1} & =Q_{h-1}\left(Q_{h}-Q_{h-2}\right), \\
P_{h-1}-P_{h} & =Q_{h}-Q_{h-2}, \\
Q_{h-1}-2 P_{h} & =Q_{h-1}-Q_{h-2}, \\
2 P_{h} & =Q_{h-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Next we prove

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{p-1}=2 A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}+A_{m-2}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}-\left(A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}+B_{m-1}^{\prime} A_{m-2}^{\prime}\right)  \tag{42}\\
& B_{p-1}=2{B^{\prime}}_{m-1}^{2}+B_{m-2}^{\prime 2}-2 B_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that regardless of the sign of $\epsilon_{m-1}$, we have $A_{m-2}^{\prime}=A_{h-3}$.
To prove (42), let

$$
T=2 A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-1}^{\prime}+A_{m-2}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}-\left(A_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}+B_{m-1}^{\prime} A_{m-2}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T= & 2 A_{h-1} B_{h-1}+A_{h-3} B_{h-3}-\left(A_{h-1} B_{h-3}+B_{h-1} A_{h-3}\right) \\
= & 2 A_{h-1} B_{h-1}+\left(A_{h-1}-A_{h-2}\right)\left(B_{h-1}-B_{h-2}\right) \\
& -A_{h-1}\left(B_{h-1}-B_{h-2}\right)-B_{h-1}\left(A_{h-1}-A_{h-2}\right) \\
= & A_{h-1} B_{h-1}+A_{h-2} B_{h-2} \\
= & A_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we prove (43).

$$
\begin{aligned}
2{B^{\prime}}_{m-1}^{2}+{B^{\prime}}_{m-2}^{2}-2 B_{m-1}^{\prime} B_{m-2}^{\prime}= & 2 B_{h-1}^{2}+B_{h-3}^{2}-2 B_{h-1} B_{h-3} \\
= & 2 B_{h-1}^{2}+\left(B_{h-1}-B_{h-2}\right)^{2} \\
& -2 B_{h-1}\left(B_{h-1}-B_{h-2}\right) \\
= & B_{h-1}^{2}+B_{h-2}^{2} \\
= & B_{p-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

## 7 RCF periods with only odd length unisequences

Lemma 17. Suppose there are no even length ( $\geq 2$ ) unisequences in an RCF period of length $p$ for $\sqrt{D}$. If $k$ is the NICF period-length and $0 \leq t \leq k / 2$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k-t)=p-f(t), \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f(t)=r$. Then we have $t$ jumps on $\left[a_{0}, a_{r}\right]$. Because of the symmetry of the partial quotients and the absence of even length unisequences, we get the same $t$ jumps but in reverse order on $\left[a_{p-r}, a_{p}\right]$. There are $k$ jumps on $\left[a_{0}, a_{p}\right]$ and hence $k-t$ jumps on $\left[a_{0}, a_{p-r}\right]$. Hence $f(k-t)=p-r=p-f(t)$.

Theorem 18. Suppose there are no even length ( $\geq 2$ ) unisequences in a RCF period of length $p$ for $\sqrt{D}$. Then if $k$ is the NICF period-length, for $1 \leq t \leq k / 2$, we have
(i) $\epsilon_{t}=\epsilon_{k+1-t}$;
(ii) $P_{t}^{\prime}=P_{k+1-t}^{\prime}$;
(iii) $Q_{t}^{\prime}=Q_{k-t}^{\prime}$;
(iv) $a_{t}^{\prime}=a_{k-t}^{\prime}$,
where $\xi_{t}^{\prime}=\frac{P_{t}^{\prime}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{t}^{\prime}}$ is the $t$-th complete quotient of the NICF-P expansion of $\sqrt{D}$.
Remark 19. In particular, if $k=2 h$, then (ii) implies $P_{h}^{\prime}=P_{h+1}^{\prime}$ and we have criterion 1) of Theorem 6; while if $k=2 h+1$, then (iii) implies $Q_{h}^{\prime}=Q_{h+1}^{\prime}$ and we have criterion 3) of Theorem 6 .

Proof. (i) We use (24) and Lemma 17

$$
\begin{aligned}
\epsilon_{t}=1 & \Longleftrightarrow f(t)=f(t-1)+1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow p-f(k-t)=p-f(k-t+1)+1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow f(k-t+1)=f(k-t)+1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \epsilon_{k-t+1}=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) (a) Assume $\epsilon_{t}=1$. Then $\epsilon_{k+1-t}=1$ and $f(t)=f(t-1)+1$. Hence $\xi_{t}^{\prime}=\xi_{f(t)}=\frac{P_{f(t)}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{f(t)}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{k+1-t}^{\prime} & =\xi_{f(k+1-t)}=\xi_{p-f(t-1)}=\xi_{p+1-f(t)} \\
& =\frac{P_{p+1-f(t)}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{p+1-f(t)}} \\
& =\frac{P_{f(t)}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{f(t)-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $P_{t}^{\prime}=P_{f(t)}=P_{k+1-t}^{\prime}$.
(b) Assume $\epsilon_{t}=-1$. Then $\epsilon_{k+1-t}=-1$ and $f(t)=f(t-1)+2$.

Hence $\xi_{t}^{\prime}=\xi_{f(t)}+1=\frac{P_{f(t)}+Q_{f(t)}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{f(t)}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{k+1-t}^{\prime} & =\xi_{f(k+1-t)}+1=\xi_{p-f(t-1)}+1 \\
& =\frac{P_{p-f(t-1)}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{p-f(t-1)}}+1=\frac{P_{f(t-1)+1}+Q_{f(t-1)}+\sqrt{D}}{Q_{f(t-1)}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{t}^{\prime} & =P_{f(t)}+Q_{f(t)}, \\
P_{k+1-t}^{\prime} & =P_{f(t-1)+1}+Q_{f(t-1)} \\
& =P_{f(t)-1}+Q_{f(t)-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For brevity, write $r=f(t)-1$. We have to prove $P_{t}^{\prime}=P_{k+1-t}^{\prime}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}+Q_{r-1}=P_{r+1}+Q_{r+1} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{r+1}^{2}-P_{r}^{2} & =\left(D-Q_{r} Q_{r+1}\right)-\left(D-Q_{r-1} Q_{r}\right) \\
& =Q_{r}\left(Q_{r-1}-Q_{r+1}\right) . \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

Now $\epsilon_{t}=-1$ implies $a_{f(t-1)+1}=1=a_{f(t)-1}=a_{r}$ and hence $P_{r+1}+P_{r}=a_{r} Q_{r}=Q_{r}$. Then (46) gives

$$
P_{r+1}-P_{r}=Q_{r-1}-Q_{r+1}
$$

and hence (45).
(iii) To prove $Q_{t}^{\prime}=Q_{k-t}^{\prime}$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{t}^{\prime} & =\xi_{f(t)} \text { or } \quad \xi_{f(t)}+1 \\
\xi_{k-t}^{\prime} & =\xi_{f(k-t)} \text { or } \quad \xi_{f(k-t)}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
Q_{k-t}^{\prime}=Q_{f(k-t)}=Q_{p-f(t)}=Q_{f(t)}=Q_{t}^{\prime}
$$

(iv)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{t}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{f(t)} \text { if }\left(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t+1}\right)=(1,1) ; \\
a_{f(t)}+1 \text { if }\left(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t+1}\right)=(1,-1) \text { or }(-1,1) ; \\
a_{f(t)}+2 \text { if }\left(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t+1}\right)=(-1,-1) .
\end{array}\right. \\
& a_{k-t}^{\prime}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{f(k-t)} \text { if }\left(\epsilon_{k-t}, \epsilon_{k-t+1}\right)=(1,1) ; \\
a_{f(k-t)}+1 \text { if }\left(\epsilon_{k-t}, \epsilon_{k-t+1}\right)=(1,-1) \text { or }(-1,1) ; \\
a_{f(k-t)}+2 \text { if }\left(\epsilon_{k-t}, \epsilon_{k-t+1}\right)=(-1,-1) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then as $\left(\epsilon_{t}, \epsilon_{t+1}\right)=\left(\epsilon_{k+1-t}, \epsilon_{k-t}\right)$ and $a_{f(k-t)}=a_{p-f(t)}=a_{f(t)}$, it follows that $a_{t}^{\prime}=a_{k-t}^{\prime}$.
We give examples of even and odd NICF period-length in which only odd length unisequences occur.

$$
\text { (a) } \begin{aligned}
\sqrt{1532} & =[39,7,9,1,1,1,3,1,18,1,3,1, \overparen{*}, 1,9,7,78] \\
& =39+\frac{1}{\left.\right|_{*} ^{7}}+\frac{1}{\mid 10}-\frac{1}{3}-\frac{1}{\mid 5}-\frac{1}{\mid 20}-\frac{1}{\mid 5}-\frac{1}{\mid 3}-\frac{1}{\mid 10}+\frac{1}{\mid 7}+\frac{1}{\mid \underset{*}{78}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $p=16, k=10, P_{5}^{\prime}=P_{6}^{\prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (b) } \sqrt{277}=[\overparen{16,1,1,1,4,1} \overparen{*}, 1,7,2,2,3,3,2,2,7,1,10,4,1,1,1,32]= \\
& 17-\frac{1}{\left.\right|_{*} ^{3}}-\frac{1}{\mid 5}+\frac{1}{\mid 11}-\frac{1}{\mid 8}+\frac{1}{\mid 2}+\frac{1}{\mid 2}+\frac{1}{\mid 3}+\frac{1}{\mid 3}+\frac{1}{\mid 2}+\frac{1}{\mid 2}+\frac{1}{8}-\frac{1}{\mid 11}+\frac{1}{\mid 5}-\frac{1}{\mid 3}-\frac{1}{\mid{ }_{*}^{34}}{ }_{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $p=21, k=15, Q_{7}^{\prime}=Q_{8}^{\prime}$.
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