

## CS 860 ASSIGNMENT #1

JOHN HEARN

- 2.1.1 (a) Show that  $C(0^n|n) \leq c$ , where  $c$  is a constant independent of  $n$ .
- (b) Show that  $C(\pi_{1:n}|n) \leq c$  where  $\pi = 3.1415\dots$  and  $c$  is some constant independent of  $n$ .
- (c) Show that  $C(a_{1:n}|n) \approx n/4$ , where  $a_i$  is the  $i$ th bit in Shakespeare's *Romeo and Juliet*. *Hint:* Use known facts concerning the letter frequencies (entropy) in written English.
- (d) What is  $C(a_{1:n}|n)$ , where  $a_1$  is the  $i$ th bit in the expansion of the fine structure constant  $a = e^2/\hbar c$ , in physics.
- 2.1.2 Let  $x$  be a finite binary string with  $C(x) = q$ . What is the complexity  $C(x^q)$ , where  $x^q$  denotes concatenation of  $q$  copies of  $x$ .
- 2.1.3 Show that there are infinite binary sequences  $\omega$  such that the length of the shortest program for reference turing machine  $U$  to compute the consecutive digits of  $\omega$  one after another can be significantly shorter than the length of the shortest program to compute an initial  $n$ -length segment  $\omega_{1:n}$  of  $\omega$ , for any large enough  $n$ .
- 2.1.5 Below,  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are arbitrary elements of  $\mathbb{N}$ . Prove the following:
- (a)  $C(x|y) \leq C(x) + O(1)$ .
- (b)  $C(x|y) \leq C(x, z|y) + O(1)$ .
- (c)  $C(x|y, z) \leq C(x|y) + O(1)$ .
- (d)  $C(x, x) = C(x) + O(1)$ .
- (e)  $C(x, y|z) = C(y, x|z) + O(1)$ .

$$(f) C(x|y, z) = C(x|z, y) + O(1).$$

$$(g) C(x, y|x, z) = C(y|x, z) + O(1).$$

$$(h) C(x|x, z) = C(x|x) + O(1) = O(1).$$

2.1.13 Show that  $2C(a, b, c) \leq C(a, b) + C(b, c) + C(c, a) + O(\log n)$ .

2.2.5 We say  $x$  is an  $n$ -string if  $x$  has length  $n$  and  $x = n00\dots 0$ .

(a) Show that there is a constant  $c$  such that for all  $n$ -strings  $x$  we have  $C(x|y) \leq c$ . (Of course,  $c$  depends on the reference Turing machine  $U$  used to define  $C$ .)

(b) Show there is a constant  $c$  such that  $C(x|n) \leq c$  for all  $x$  in the form of the  $n$ -length prefix of  $nn\dots n$ .

(c) Let  $c$  be as in Item (a). Consider any string  $x$  of length  $n$  with  $C(x|n) \gg c$ . Prove that the extension of  $x$  to a string  $y = x00\dots 0$  of length  $x$  has complexity  $C(y|x) \leq c$ . Conclude that there is a constant  $c$  such that each string  $x$ , no matter how high its  $C(x|l(x))$  complexity, can be extended to a string  $y$  with  $C(y|l(y)) < c$ .

*Comments:* The  $C(x)$  measure contains the information about the *pattern* of 0's and 1's in  $x$  and information about the *length*  $n$  of  $x$ . Since most  $n$ 's are random,  $l(n)$  is mostly about  $\log n$ . In this case, about  $\log n$  bits of the shortest program  $p$  for  $x$  will be used to account for  $x$ 's length. For  $n$ 's that are easy to compute, this is much less. This seems a minor problem at high complexities, but becomes an issue at low complexities, as follows. If the quantities of information related to the *pattern only* is low, say less than  $\log n$ , for two strings  $x$  and  $y$  of length  $n$ , then distinctions between these quantities for  $x$  and  $y$  may get blurred in comparison between  $C(x)$  and  $C(y)$  if the quantity of information related to length  $n$  dominates in both. The  $C(x|l(x))$  complexity was meant to measure the information content of  $x$  apart from its length. However, as the present exercise shows, in that case  $l(x)$  may contain already the complete description of  $x$  up to a constant number of bits.

2.2.6 (a) Show that there is a constant  $d > 0$  such that for every  $n$  there are at least  $\lfloor 2^n/d \rfloor$  strings of length  $n$  with  $C(x|n), C(x) \geq n$ . *Hint:* There is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for every  $n$  and every  $x$  of length  $l(x) \leq n - c$  we have  $C(x|n), C(x) > n$  (Theorem 2.1.2). Therefore, there are at most  $2^n - 2^{n-c+1}$  programs of length  $< n$  available as shortest programs for the strings of length  $n$ .

- (b) Show that there are constants  $c, d' > 0$  such that for every large enough  $n$  there are at least  $\lfloor 2^n/d' \rfloor$  strings  $x$  of length  $n - c \leq l(x) \leq n$  with  $C(x|n), C(x) > n$ . *Hint:* For every  $n$  there are equally many strings of length  $\leq n$  to be described and potential strings of length  $\leq n$  to describe them. Since some programs do not halt (Lemma 1.7.5 on page 34) for every large enough  $n$  there exists a string  $x$  of length at most  $n$  that has  $C(x|n), C(x) > n$  (and  $C(x|n), C(x) \leq l(x) + c$ ). Let there be  $m \geq 1$  such strings. Given  $m$  and  $n$  we can enumerate all  $2^{n+1} - m - 1$  strings  $x$  of length  $\leq n$  and complexity  $C(x|n) \leq n$  by dovetailing the running of all programs of length  $\leq n$ . The lexicographic first string of length  $\leq n$  not in the list satisfies  $\log m + O(1) \geq C(x|n) > n$ . The unconditional result follows by padding the description of  $x$  up to length  $n$ .

2.2.8 Prove that for each binary string  $x$  of length  $n$  there is a  $y$  equal to  $x$  but for one bit such that  $C(y|n) \leq n \log n + O(1)$ . *Hint:* the set of binary strings of length  $n$  constituting a Hamming code has  $2^n/n$  elements and is recursive.

2.3.4 Let  $\omega$  be an infinite binary string. We call  $\omega$  *recursive* if there exists a recursive function  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(i) = \omega_i$  for all  $i > 0$ . Show the following:

- (a) If  $\omega$  is recursive, then there is a constant  $c$  such that for all  $n$
- $$C(\omega_{1:n}; n) < c$$
- $$C(\omega_{1:n}|n) < c$$
- $$C(\omega_{1:n}) - C(n) < c.$$

*Comment:* This is easy. The converses also hold but are less easy to show. They follow from items (b), (e), and (f).

- (b) For each constant  $c$ , there are only finitely many  $\omega$  such that for all  $n$ ,  $C(\omega_{1:n}; n) \leq c$ , and each such  $\omega$  is recursive.

- (c) For each constant  $c$ , there are only finitely many  $\omega$  such that for infinitely many  $n$ ,  $C(\omega_{1:n}; n) \leq c$ , and each such  $\omega$  is recursive.

*Comment:* Clearly Item (c) implies Item (b).

- (d) There exists a constant  $c$  such that the set of infinite  $\omega$ , which satisfies  $C(\omega_{1:n}|n) \leq c$  for infinitely many  $n$ , has the cardinality of the continuum.

*Comment:* Conclude that not all such  $\omega$  are recursive. In particular, the analogue of Item (c) for  $C(\omega_{1:n}|n)$  does not hold. Namely, there exist nonrecursive  $\omega$  for which there exists a constant  $c$  such that for infinitely many  $n$  we have  $C(\omega_{1:n}|n) \leq c$ .

*Hint:* Exhibit a one-to-one coding of subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  into the set of infinite binary strings of which of which infinitely many prefixes are  $n$ -strings—in the sense of Example 2.2.5.

- (e) For each constant  $c$ , there are only finitely many  $\omega$  such that for all  $n$ ,  $C(\omega_{1:n}|n) \leq c$ , and each such  $\omega$  is recursive.

*Comment:* Item (e) means that in contrast to the differences between the measures  $C(\cdot;l(\cdot))$  and  $C(\cdot|l(\cdot))$  exposed by the contrast between Items (c) and (d), Item (b) holds also for  $C(\cdot|l(\cdot))$ .

- (f) For each constant  $c$ , there are only finitely many  $\omega$  with  $C(\omega_{1:n}) \leq C(n) + c$  for all  $n$ , and each such  $\omega$  is recursive.

- (g) For each constant  $c$ , there are only finitely many  $\omega$  with  $C(\omega_{1:n}) \leq l(n) + c$  for all  $n$ , and each such  $\omega$  is recursive.

*Comment:* Items (f) and (g) show a complexity gap, because  $C(n)$  can be much lower than  $l(n)$ .

- (h) There exist nonrecursive  $\omega$  for which there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $C(\omega_{1:n}) \leq C(n) + c$  for infinitely many  $n$ .

*Hint:* Use Item (d).